Cyanobacterial Aldehyde Deformylase Oxygenation of Aldehydes Yields n − 1 Aldehydes and Alcohols in Addition to Alkanes
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ABSTRACT: Aldehyde-deformylating oxygenase (ADO) catalyzes O2-dependent release of the terminal carbon of a biological substrate, octadecanal, to yield formate and heptadecane in a reaction that requires external reducing equivalents. We show here that ADO also catalyzes incorporation of an oxygen atom from O2 into the alkane product to yield alcohol and aldehyde products. Oxygenation of the alkane product is much more pronounced with Cn−10 aldehyde substrates so that use of nonanal as the substrate yields similar amounts of octane, octanal, and octanol products. When using doubly labeled [1,2-13C]octanal as the substrate, the heptane, heptanal, and heptanol products each contained a single 13C-label in the C-1 carbons atoms. The only one-carbon product identified was formate. [18O]2O2 incorporation studies demonstrated formation of [18O]alcohol product, but rapid solvent exchange prevented similar determination for the aldehyde product. Addition of [1-13C]nonanal with decanal as the substrate at the outset of the reaction resulted in formation of [1-13C]nonanol. No 13C-product was formed in the absence of decanal. ADO contains an oxygen-bridged dinuclear iron cluster. The observation of alcohol and aldehyde products derived from the initially formed alkane product suggests a reactive species similar to that formed by methane monoxygenase (MMO) and other members of the bacterial multicomponent monoxygenase family. Accordingly, characterization by EPR and Mössbauer spectroscopies shows that the electronic structure of the ADO cluster is similar, but not identical, to that of the MMO hydroxylase component. In particular, the two irons of ADO reside in nearly identical environments in both the oxidized and fully reduced states, whereas those of MMOH show distinct differences. These favorable characteristics of the iron sites allow, for the first time for any biological system, a comprehensive determination of the spin Hamiltonian parameters describing the electronic state of the diferrous cluster. The nature of the diiron cluster and the newly recognized products from ADO catalysis hold implications for the mechanism of C–C bond cleavage.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Bacterial synthesis of diesel-length alkanes and alkenes expands the biofuel inventory beyond alcohols and esters1–4 by making a source of renewable, drop-in, liquid fuels.5–10 Recently, a two-gene cluster required for alkane/alkene biosynthesis was identified in cyanobacteria.11 The hydrocarbons formed had an odd chain length (C13, C15, and C17), indicating they were biosynthesized by removal of the C-1 carbon of even-chain-length fatty acyl groups. In this biosynthetic pathway, the fatty acyl group is reduced by an acyl-ACP reductase, a member of the short-chain dehydrogenase family, to produce the corresponding aldehyde. The second enzyme in the pathway, a dinuclear iron-cluster-containing enzyme, removes the aldehydic carbon to produce a hydrocarbon. Initially, the displaced single-carbon product was proposed to be carbon monoxide, on the basis of previous reports on similar reactions observed in whole cells and cell extracts of plants and animals.11,12 More recently, formate was shown to be the coproduct of the hydrocarbon-generating enzyme, with the second oxygen atom derived from atmospheric dioxygen.13,14 In light of this, the hydrocarbon-generating enzyme has been given the name aldehyde-deformylating oxygenase (ADO).15

The amino acid sequence of ADO reveals similarity to a number of enzymes that utilize a dinuclear iron cluster to activate O2 for oxygenation or oxidation reactions including ribonucleotide reductase (RNR-R2), methane monoxygenase (MMO), a tRNA-modifying enzyme, MiaE, Δ4 fatty acid desaturase and toluene monoxygenases.16–25 In addition, the X-ray crystal structure of ADO shows that it has a dimeric center with coordination similar to that found for these enzymes.11 Each of these enzymes employs an oxygen-activation strategy whereby the reduced enzyme binds dioxygen and subsequently carries out an oxidation reaction. In this context, it is interesting that the ADO reaction is redox-neutral.
overall. In fact, this led to a proposal that ADO does not require dioxygen and that the oxygen atom in the formate product derives from water. However, a reexamination of the data showed that an oxygenolytic mechanism is operative.

The mechanism of O₂ activation and product formation of ADO remains unknown. Mechanisms involving FeIIFeIII-superoxo, FeIIIFeIII-μ-peroxo, and high-valence FeIIIFeIV-μ-oxo species have been proposed for other oxygen-activating enzymes in the dinuclear iron cluster family. These species are known to catalyze numerous types of reactions, such as hydrocarbon oxygenation, alcohol and aldehyde oxidation, epoxidation, and desaturation, as well as radical formation.

Here, we have characterized the metal cluster of ADO for comparison with those found in other oxygen-activating diiron-cluster-containing enzymes. A study of the products formed during reaction of ADO with both a biological substrate octadecanal and shorter hydrocarbon aldehydes was conducted. Surprisingly, it is found that, in addition to the well-documented formate and \((n - 1)\) alkane products, ADO catalyzes formation of products in which oxygen from O₂ is incorporated to form \((n - 1)\) alcohols and aldehydes. These newly recognized products give insight into the nature of the ADO reaction and the reactive species generated during catalysis.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. All reagents, solvents, standards, and enzymes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with the following exceptions: heptadecanal and octadecanal (TCI America, Portland, OR), nonanal (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA), and [13C]formaldehyde (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA). Methods for syntheses of 13C-labeled aldehydes are presented in the Supporting Information (SI).

2.2. Aldehyde-Deformylating Oxygenase Purification. Prochlorococcus marinus ADO (NP_895059) was expressed in BL21 (DE3) with an N-terminal histidine tag from an Escherichia coli-codon-optimized gene (DNA2.0, Menlo Park, CA) in pET28b. To increase iron content of ADO, cells were grown in terri broth with an N-terminal histidine tag from an IPTG (University of Minnesota Biotechnology Resource Center, CA) in pET28b. To increase iron content of ADO, cells were grown in terri broth and induced at 25 °C. Concentrations of products were determined using the equation of the best fit line for the plot of MS peak area for aldehyde, alkane, or alcohol, ranging from 5 to 250 μM. Peaks were identified by comparison of retention times, and mass spectra, to authentic standards.

2.4. ADO Reaction in [18O]-O₂. After reaction mixtures were degassed with alternating argon and vacuum on a Schlenk line to remove 16O₂, they were exposed to [18O]O₂ under slight positive pressure. The reactions were started with degassed NADH and allowed to proceed for 10 min. Degassed alcohol dehydrogenase (6 μg/mL) was added, and the reactions were incubated for an additional 10 min before extraction, bis(dimethylsilyl)acetamide derivatization (1% final in organic layer), and GC/MS/FID analysis, as described above. The abundance of the oxygen-containing m/z ions at 187–191 was determined.

2.5. [1-13C]-1-Nonanol Addition to Decanal ADO Reaction. [1-13C]-1-Nonanol was synthesized from [1-13C]-nonanoic acid with boranetetrahydrofuran using the methods of Kende and Fludzinski. 1-Nonanol or [1-13C]-1-Nonanol was added to a standard ADO reaction to 0.5 mM or 1.5 mM with 200 μM decanal and PMS/NADH. Percent 13C incorporation was calculated as percent \((m + 1)/2z\) signal of the total signal from a fragmentation ion pair \((m/z + (m + 1)/2)\). The reported values are an average of three measurements, each for the three major electron impact fragment ions that retain the labeled carbon.

2.6. 13C NMR. Using a 7001 Megatron 700 MHz Bruker NMR, spectra were collected using standard parameters for protein-decoupled 13C NMR data with 24 000 transients. The ADO reaction consisted of 3 mM [1-13C]-nonanal, 3 mM ADO, 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 0.1 mg/mL spinach ferredoxin, 0.1 U/mL ferredoxin reductase, 2 mM NADPH, 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and 10% D₂O.

2.7. Mössbauer and EPR Methods. Methods for Mössbauer and EPR spectroscopies are presented in the SI.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Novel Products of ADO Reaction Consistent with an Oxygenase Reaction. ADO reaction mixtures containing octadecanal and a reducing system (NADH/phenazine) were extracted and analyzed by liquid GC/MS. Heptadecane, an alkane one carbon shorter than the substrate, was observed, as previously reported. In addition to heptadecane, we also observed heptadecanolic acid that accounted for less than 3% of the heptadecane yield. Heptadecane and heptadecanol peaks on the GC/MS chromatogram were validated by comparison of the retention time and mass spectral fragmentation pattern with those of authentic standards. Incomplete control reactions without enzyme or without NADH lacked both this new aldehyde product and the previously observed alkane product.

The production of heptadecanol indicated that ADO enzyme was capable of incorporating O₂ into a methylene carbon, and
thus, the reaction mixture was also tested for the presence of an alcohol product (heptadecanol). The high boiling point of the long-chain heptadecanol complicates GC analysis, so reactions with shorter-chain aldehyde substrates were examined. Rather than sampling the reaction headspace, which would favor the detection of volatile alkane products, liquid GC/MS was again used to analyze the organic layer of extracted ADO reactions. Using nonanal as the substrate, octane, octanal, and octanol were observed (Figure 1). Peak identification was confirmed by comparison of retention time and mass spectra to authentic standards. Furthermore, a small amount of heptane was also observed, and the time course of heptanal formation is consistent with octanal’s being a precursor (SI Figure S1).

ADO was reacted with aldehydes of varied chain lengths to determine the effect of chain length on product formation. The formation of the additional products is a general feature of the ADO reaction. However, the relative yields of aldehyde and alcohol products varied significantly with the chain length of the aldehyde substrate (Table 1 and SI Figure S2). In a separate experiment, replicate reactions of 30 μM ADO with octanal or nonanal (500 μM) and NADH/phenazine were quenched at 2 min. Products were determined by GC/MS (Table 1). A marked decrease in relative yields of aldehyde and alcohol products were observed as the alkyl chain length was decreased from C₉ to C₈. Investigation of a range of aldehydes revealed that relative yields of the one-carbon-shorter alcohol and aldehyde products was optimum with nonanal and decanal and decreased with shorter and longer alkyl chains.

Because the NADH/phenazine/O₂ turnover system could afford the production of reactive oxygen species, control reactions were performed in which spinach ferredoxin, spinach ferredoxin reductase, and NADPH were substituted for the NADH/phenazine reduction system. The additional aldehyde and alcohol products were still observed, suggesting that they represent enzyme catalyzed products (SI Figure S3). Furthermore, in the absence of NAD(P)H or enzyme, no products are observed with either the ferredoxin or phenazine systems.

Further experiments were conducted to confirm that the observed novel products were not contaminants. First, the incubation of aldehyde substrates with an increasing concentration of enzyme yielded an increased rate of production of all three one-carbon-shortened products—alkane, alcohol, and aldehyde—as well as the two-carbon-shorter alkane. Second, in light of the recent finding that catalase greatly increases ADO reaction turnover by removing the inhibitory H₂O₂ produced by the inefficient coupling of the reduction system to the enzyme, a control ADO reaction in the presence of catalase (1 mg/mL) was also analyzed. The one-carbon-shortened alcohol and aldehyde products could be detected in the presence of catalase. Last, to definitely confirm that the observed products were derived from the substrate aldehyde, [1,2-¹³C]octanal was synthesized. The purity was analyzed by NMR (SI Figure S4). The double-labeled aldehyde was used as an ADO substrate. Upon reaction, products resulting from removal of the [¹³C]-labeled aldehydic carbon from the double-labeled aldehyde substrate would retain one ¹³C atom, distinguishing products from any unlabeled contaminating aldehydes or alcohols. In a complete reaction mixture with [1,2-¹³C]octanal, the heptane, heptanal, and 1-heptanol products could be detected by GC/MS, as expected (Figures 2 and SI S5). MS analysis was consistent with the presence of a single ¹³C label on the new C1 of the products, revealing that the oxygenated products are derived from [1,2-¹³C]octanal.

Figure 1. (A) GC/flame ionization detector (FID) chromatogram of ADO reaction with nonanal. (B) Mass spectra of octanal and octanol from GC/MS analysis (black) compared with mass spectra from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database (red). (C) Time dependence of product formation in ADO reaction with nonanal.
3.2. Single-Carbon Reaction Coproducts. In light of these prominent oxygenated reaction products with C₈−C₁₀ aldehydes, we considered that other one-carbon products might also form.

Since nonanal produced high relative yields of the alcohol and aldehyde products (Table 1), experiments were conducted using [1-¹³C]nonanal and ¹³C NMR to analyze coproducts. The phenazine-reducing system was shown to cause minor oxidation of formaldehyde to formate in preliminary experiments, and thus, the ferredoxin-reducing system was used in these experiments. Following the reaction, [¹³C]formate was readily apparent in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Figure 3). Another single carbon compound, [¹³C]bicarbonate, appeared at substoichiometric levels over the course of a long overnight acquisition. The low level of bicarbonate detected is consistent with its arising from a partial oxidation of formic acid. Additional peaks were identified as [1-¹³C]-1-nonanol and [1-¹³C]nonanoic acid, both detected in the aldehyde preparation (SI Figure S6), and DMSO, a reaction additive. An observed peak at 60.81 ppm was not identified.

Notably, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide, and methanol were not detected by ¹³C NMR. The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of [¹³C]formaldehyde, [¹³C]methanol, and [¹³C]carbon monoxide are 84.5, 51.6, and 181.3 ppm, respectively, as reported by the University of Wisconsin Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank. Further experiments mitigated against the production of other products. [¹³C]Formaldehyde was not identified using an HPLC−MS assay for formaldehyde derivatized with Nash reagent that was shown with standards to readily detect 30 μM formaldehyde (SI Table S1). Carbon monoxide was looked for in ADO reaction mixtures incubated in sealed cuvettes. After reacting, reduced myoglobin was injected into each cuvette, and the visible spectrum was analyzed for the characteristic absorbance of myoglobin−CO at 425 nm. On the basis of the minimal spectral change, we can infer that CO, if formed, would account for <1% of the coproduct formed. (SI Figure S7). Other experiments using [1-¹³C]octanal as the substrate yielded [¹³C]formate, as expected.

3.3. Oxygen Incorporation into Alcohol and Aldehyde Products. Before conducting experiments with [¹⁸O]O₂, we first confirmed that the formation of all of the reaction products depended on the presence of oxygen. While oxygen levels can be reduced to less than 10 ppm in an anaerobic chamber, if the ADO has a low Kₘ for oxygen, as has been suggested, some

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>alkane</th>
<th>aldehyde</th>
<th>alcohol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>octanal</td>
<td>16 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonanal</td>
<td>16 ± 9</td>
<td>17 ± 5</td>
<td>10 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Product concentrations (μM) of 2 min reactions with NADH/phenazine in triplicate ± standard deviation.

Table 1. Alkyl-Products of 30 μM ADO Reactions
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ADO activity would still be expected, even after degassing in an anaerobic chamber.\textsuperscript{15,27,38} To further deplete oxygen, reactions were run in an anaerobic chamber following preincubation with homoprotocatechuicate 2,3 dioxygenase from Brevibacterium fuscum\textsuperscript{40} and homoprotocatechuicate (HPCA) as an oxygen scavenging system. Under these conditions, using dodecanol as a substrate, the amount of undecane detected was comparable to control reactions lacking NADH (SI Figure S8). Accordingly, no undecanediol or undecaneol could be detected in these reaction mixtures. These data are consistent with recent findings and strongly support a strict oxygen requirement for the ADO reaction, including the novel product species identified in the present study.\textsuperscript{38}

To follow oxygen-18 incorporation during the ADO reaction, a reaction with ADO and nonanol was conducted under an [\textsuperscript{18}O]O\textsubscript{2} atmosphere at pH 7.4. The reaction mixture at 10 min was treated with an excess of equine alcohol dehydrogenase and NADH to reduce any remaining aldehyde and prevent further exchange. Any octanol thus produced, in addition to the ADO product octanol, was derivatized with bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide and analyzed by GC/MS (Figure 4A). The derivatized alcohol product contained substantial levels of \textsuperscript{18}O (Figure 4B) in the major m/z ion (187). The major ion corresponds to the loss of one methyl group from the trimethylsilyl adduct. The mass spectrum derived from the sample exposed to \textsuperscript{16}O\textsubscript{2} showed the expected ratio of n + 1, and n + 2 m/z ions owing to the natural abundance of \textsuperscript{13}C atoms (Figure 4B). The mass spectrum of the reaction product obtained under [\textsuperscript{18}O]O\textsubscript{2} clearly exhibits an n + 2 ion at an m/z of 189 with a concomitant shift of the isotopic pattern by two mass units. It was calculated from triplicate data that the oxygen incorporation into the products from [\textsuperscript{18}O]O\textsubscript{2} was 36% ± 12%. On the basis of the product ratios previously determined (Table 1), octanol accounted for 37% of the oxygenated products. Thus, the data suggested nearly complete exchange of the aldehyde \textsuperscript{18}O with solvent during the 10 min incubation period, consistent with recent exchange measurements by Li et al.\textsuperscript{15} and essentially complete incorporation of \textsuperscript{18}O from [\textsuperscript{18}O]O\textsubscript{2} into the product octanol.

3.4. Reaction of ADO with Product Alcohol. The observation of \textsuperscript{18}O-labeled oxygen incorporation into the alcohol product of the ADO reaction with nonanal solidified the identification of ADO as an oxygenase. Diiron oxygenases such as MMO that form hydroxylated products are often able to both react with hydrocarbons to form alcohol adducts and also oxidize the alcohol products to aldehydes.\textsuperscript{41} In the case of ADO, addition of nonane or nonanol to the complete reaction mixture in the absence of decanol failed to yield any oxygenated or oxidized products. However, after addition of excess [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]-1-nonanol to an ADO reaction with decanal, [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]nonanal was observed by GC/MS (Figure 5). (As expected, unlabeled nonane product was observed, whereas the nonanol product detection was obscured by [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]-1-nonanol addition.) The percent incorporation of \textsuperscript{13}C from the [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]-1-nonanol was calculated to be 14 and 26% for 0.5 mM and 1.5 mM added [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]-1-nonanol, respectively, after correction for natural abundance \textsuperscript{13}C in the [\textsuperscript{12}C]-1-nonanol control. At 1.5 mM, the added nonanol exceeded the solubility limit in water (~1 mM); therefore, the 2-fold increase in percent label incorporation from 0.5 to 1.5 mM added [\textsuperscript{1-13}C]-1-nonanol is consistent with a 2-fold increase in soluble nonanol.

Although it appears that aldehyde products can react with ADO to undergo deformylation with formation of a (n − 1) alkane, we could find no evidence for enzyme-dependent oxidation of the product aldehydes to form acid adducts. It is also clear from the results shown in Figure 5 that the decanal substrate does not prevent formation of the aldehyde product from the \textsuperscript{13}C-labeled product alcohol. Finally, the total yield of (n − 1) products is not increased by addition of \textsuperscript{13}C-labeled product alcohol.

3.5. Mössbauer and EPR Characterization of ADO. The observation of alcohol and aldehyde products that apparently derive from an intermediate alkane product suggests that the reactive diiron cluster of ADO is capable of catalyzing types of chemistry other than deformationylation of the aldehydes. Alkane hydroxylation with incorporation of oxygen from [\textsuperscript{18}O]O\textsubscript{2} is the hallmark reaction of the diiron-containing soluble form of MMO and related enzymes from the bacterial multicomponent monooxygenase (BMM) family. Past studies have employed EPR, Mössbauer, and other spectroscopies to provide detailed characterizations of BMM family enzymes.\textsuperscript{25–31} Mössbauer spectroscopy, perhaps more than any other single technique, can furnish detailed information about the electronic structure
of the diferrous state of diiron(II) proteins. Spectra recorded in applied magnetic fields are rich in information, and this information can be used to assess the electronic structure and reactivity of the protein under study and compare it with corresponding properties of related proteins. The spectra of proteins such as MMO hydroxylase component (MMOH) and RNR-R2 are immensely complex, and comprehensive fits of the Mössbauer spectra, yielding a set of spin Hamiltonian parameters, have not yet been reported. Fortunately, the spectra of diferrous ADO, while still complex, have some encouraging features (reflecting good structural homogeneity) which allowed us to obtain the first complete set of spin Hamiltonian parameters. The ADO data analysis, presented below, has yielded insights that may allow us to fit the data of diiron enzymes such as MMOH and RNR-R2 in the future, thereby providing a detailed comparison of the electronic structure of the diiron centers in these proteins.

3.5.1. Diferrous ADO. Figure 6 top shows a Mössbauer spectrum of “as isolated” ADO recorded at 4.2 K in the absence of an applied magnetic field (B = 0). The spectrum consists of a doublet that is slightly asymmetric with a quadrupole splitting \( \Delta E_Q = 1.27 \text{ mm/s} \) and isomer shift \( \delta = 0.52 \text{ mm/s} \). It is possible to represent the spectrum equally well as a superposition of two doublets with \( \Delta E_Q(1) = 1.30 \text{ mm/s} \) and \( \Delta E_Q(2) = 1.24 \text{ mm/s} \) that may differ in \( \delta \) by 0.01 mm/s, using Lorentzian lines of 0.31 mm/s full width at half-maximum, but we doubt whether such a representation is more meaningful than the one for one doublet (comment: the sample was quite concentrated. It had an iron concentration of \( \sim 3.5 \text{ mM} \), which leads to some line-broadening due to thickness effects). An applied field \( B = 5.0 \text{ T} \) (Figure 6, bottom) elicits a pattern characteristic of a system with a diamagnetic \( (S = 0) \) ground state, as is typically observed for antiferromagnetically coupled diiron clusters with high-spin Fe\(^{III} \) sites. The observation of one doublet, or two differing slightly in \( \Delta E_Q \), suggests that both iron sites of ADO have a fairly similar, possibly identical, ligand environment. For diferric MMOH, we have found \( \Delta E_Q = 1.05 \text{ mm/s} \) and \( \delta = 0.51 \text{ mm/s} \) (identical sites) for one preparation, \( \Delta E_Q1 = 1.16 \text{ mm/s} \), \( \Delta E_Q2 = 0.87 \text{ mm/s} \), and \( \delta(1) = \delta(2) \approx 0.51 \text{ mm/s} \) for a two-site fit in a subsequent preparation.

3.5.2. Diferrous ADO. After anaerobic addition of sodium dithionite to the sample of Figure 6, a Mössbauer spectrum (Figure 7, top) was observed with \( \Delta E_Q = 3.10(4) \text{ mm/s} \) and \( \delta = 1.30(2) \text{ mm/s} \) in zero magnetic field. These parameters are characteristic of high-spin Fe\(^{III} \) sites, and they are close to those reported for other diiron(II) enzymes, such as MMOH, toluene 4-monooxygenase, and RNR-R2.25,32,42,54 The observation of a single doublet suggests that for the diferrous state, just as for the diferric one, the two Fe\(^{III} \) sites are quite similar. Mössbauer spectra of high-spin Fe\(^{III} \) complexes recorded in variable applied magnetic fields yield intricate spectral patterns from which detailed fine structure and hyperfine structure parameters can be extracted. These spectra, however, depend on numerous parameters (as many as 20 for a diiron protein, even if all tensors share the same principal axes frame), and because of this complication, a complete set of parameters has not yet been reported for any diiron(II) protein. However, well-resolved spectra, rich in detail, of reduced ADO allowed us to obtain such a set of parameters.
The interactions relevant for a combined Mössbauer and EPR study of diferrous ADO are the zero-field splittings (ZFS), electronic Zeeman, magnetic hyperfine, nuclear Zeeman, and quadrupole interactions of the two Fe sites. We also require a term, $\hat{H}_{\text{exch}} = J\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2$, that describes exchange interactions between the two sites with electronic spin $S_1 = S_2 = 2$. For ADO, as for MMOH,\textsuperscript{42} the exchange coupling is quite small, namely $|J| < 0.5$ cm$^{-1}$, and ferromagnetic ($J < 0$); see below. In the ensuing sections, it will be useful to employ a spin Hamiltonian that can conveniently be evaluated and discussed in the weak coupling limit, that is, under conditions for which $|J| \ll$ zero-field splittings.

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_e + \hat{H}_{\text{hf}}$$

$$\hat{H}_e = J\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + \sum_{i=1,2} \left\{ \beta \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \mathbf{B} + D \left[ \mathbf{S}_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right] \right\} + \frac{E_i}{D_i} \left( \mathbf{S}_{i \zeta} - \mathbf{S}_{i \eta} \right)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{hf}} = \sum_{i=1,2} \left( \mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{I}_i + \hat{H}_Q(i) - g_d \beta_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{I}_i \right)$$

$$\hat{H}_Q(i) = \frac{eQ_{ZZ,i}}{12} \left[ I_{z,i} - \frac{15}{4} + \eta (I_{x,i}^2 - I_{y,i}^2) \right]$$

where the index $i = 1,2$ labels the two sites. Furthermore, in eq 2, $D_1$ and $D_2$ are the tetragonal and rhombic zero-field splitting parameters of site 1 and 2, respectively, and $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$ are the electronic g-tensors. $\mathbf{A}_1$ and $\mathbf{A}_2$ are the $^{57}$Fe magnetic hyperfine tensors and $\hat{H}_Q(i = 1,2)$ describes the interactions of the nuclear quadrupole moment $Q$ with the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor (principal components $V_{XX}, V_{YY}$, and $V_{ZZ}$; $\eta = (V_{XX} - V_{YY})/V_{ZZ}$ is the asymmetry parameter. Our analysis indicates that the ZFS and $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ tensors of both Fe sites share a common principal axis system ($x$, $y$, $z$) and that the principal axes of the two EFGs ($X$, $Y$, $Z$) are rotated with respect to ($x$, $y$, $z$). While all parameters of eqs 1–4 are accessible by Mössbauer spectroscopy, only eq 2 is required for the EPR results. To simplify the presentation of a rather complex exchange-coupled system, we state at the outset a result obtained after a series of Mössbauer simulations: namely, the parameters of the two sites of ADO differ only in a minor way, and within our resolution, the tensors of both sites have the same spatial orientation. For simplicity, we will use a double label when the quantities are the same for both sites, e.g. $(E/D)_{12}$ stands for $(E/D)_1 = (E/D)_2$.

Figure 8 shows low-temperature X-band EPR spectra of diferrous ADO recorded at $T = 3$ K with a bimodal cavity operated in parallel (bottom) and transverse (top) modes. A prominent signal near $g = 16$ is seen in both modes. A transverse mode spectrum for diferrous ADO with a resonance at $g = 13$ was previously reported by Marsh and co-workers,\textsuperscript{37} however, spectral simulations were not presented. Since the spectra of Figure 8 are similar to those analyzed by Hendrich and co-workers for reduced MMOH,\textsuperscript{43} we mention here only the principal features of the electronic system as described by eq 2. SI Figure S10, which leans in Figure 4 of Hendrich et al.,\textsuperscript{43} will aid the reader in the following discussion; the insert in Figure 8 separately depicts the lowest four spin levels.

The observed EPR signal originates from transitions between the levels of a quasidegenerate ground doublet with an energy gap $\Delta$ (for $B = 0$). For the doublet considered here, the resonance condition can be written as described in Hendrich et al.,\textsuperscript{43}

$$h\nu = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (g_{\text{eff}} \beta_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{e})^2}$$

where $\nu$ is the microwave frequency, $\mathbf{e}$ is the angle between $\mathbf{B}$ and the molecular $z$ axis, and $g_{\text{eff}} \approx 4(g_{1z} + g_{2z}) = 8$ is $\approx 16$ with $g_{1z} = (g_{1z} + g_{2z})/2$. Briefly, the EPR-active doublet reflects the following situation. The ZFS parameters $D_1$ and $D_2$ of the two iron sites of reduced ADO are negative, indicating that for each site the ground state is a spin doublet of $m_1 = \pm 2$ or $m_2 = \pm 2$ parentage, where $m_1$ and $m_2$ are the magnetic quantum numbers. In Figure 8, which shows the lowest four spin levels, as well as in SI Figure S10, these states are labeled $|2^\pm\rangle$. In the absence of exchange interactions, a second-order perturbation treatment yields for the spin doublet of each site a splitting $\Delta_i = (E/D)_i^2 D_i$.

For a mononuclear Fe$^{3+}$, such quasidegenerate doublets frequently yield a $\Delta = 0$ EPR transition near $g_{\text{eff}} = 4(g_{1z} + g_{2z}) \approx 8$; however, in the case of weak exchange coupling between the two sites, the two $|2^\pm\rangle$ ground doublets combine to produce two new doublets, which we labeled as $|2^E\rangle$ and $|2^B\rangle$ in Figures 8 and SI S10. For ferromagnetic coupling, $|2^E\rangle$ is the ground doublet; its two levels are split by $\Delta \approx \Delta_{1}\Delta_{2}/8|\langle B |J| B\rangle|_{\text{sym}}$ or $\Delta \approx \Delta_{1}\Delta_{2}/8|\langle B |J| B\rangle|_{\text{equiv}}$. The expression for $\Delta$ is approximate and serves to guide the reader; for our analysis, we have used the exact solutions to eqs 1–4. This doublet is EPR-active at the X-band, whereas $|2^B\rangle$ is essentially diamagnetic and EPR-silent. Diferrous ADO has a very small $J$ value. Theoretically, the sign of $J$ can be determined by studying the temperature dependence of the EPR signal below $\approx 6$ K. However, such measurements are difficult to perform with the widely available EPR flow cryostats. The sign of $J$ can be unambiguously determined from the low temperature Mössbauer spectra: we demonstrate by means of the spectra of SI Figure S9 that the coupling is ferromagnetic and that $|2^B\rangle$ is the ground state.
As argued in the SI, the \( z \) components of the \(^{57}\)Fe A tensors obtained from Mössbauer spectroscopy can be used to constrain \( g_{E} \) and \( g_{z} \), to \( 2.0 \leq g_{E,z} \leq 2.15 \), a condition which allowed us to determine \( \Delta \) of eq 5 by simulating the EPR spectra of Figure 8. A good representation of the EPR line shape was obtained by assuming that the rhombicity parameters \( (E/D)_{1,2} \) have a Gaussian distribution around a mean \( (E/D)_{1,2} = 0.21 \) with \( \sigma (E/D)_{1,2} = 0.017 \). The red lines in Figure 8 are \( (Spin \text{-Count}) \) simulations based on the parameters listed in the caption. The simulation for the coupled system was obtained for \( \Delta = 0.31 \) \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) and \( g_{E} = g_{z} = 2.15 \). Note that the EPR signal depends on \( \Delta \) and \( g_{ff} \) and only indirectly on \( (E/D)_{i} \) for all \( i \); the latter quantities can be obtained from Mössbauer spectroscopy.\(^{42}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f^0 ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( D^0 ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( E/D )</th>
<th>( g_{E,z} )</th>
<th>( A_{zff}/g_{ff} \beta _{n} ) (T)</th>
<th>( \delta ) (mm/s)</th>
<th>( \Delta E_{Q} ) (mm/s)</th>
<th>( \eta ^d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-0.2)</td>
<td>(-6.6(10))</td>
<td>(0.21(3))</td>
<td>(2.00, 2.00, 2.15)</td>
<td>(-25(5), -22(3), -6.5/-8.0)</td>
<td>(1.30(2))</td>
<td>(3.10(4))</td>
<td>(0.7(2))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As argued in the SI, the \( z \) components of the \(^{57}\)Fe A tensors obtained from Mössbauer spectroscopy can be used to constrain \( g_{E} \) and \( g_{z} \) to \( 2.0 \leq g_{E,z} \leq 2.15 \), a condition which allowed us to determine \( \Delta \) of eq 5 by simulating the EPR spectra of Figure 8. A good representation of the EPR line shape was obtained by assuming that the rhombicity parameters \( (E/D)_{1,2} \) have a Gaussian distribution around a mean \( (E/D)_{1,2} = 0.21 \) with \( \sigma (E/D)_{1,2} = 0.017 \). The red lines in Figure 8 are \( (Spin \text{-Count}) \) simulations based on the parameters listed in the caption. The simulation for the coupled system was obtained for \( \Delta = 0.31 \) \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) and \( g_{E} = g_{z} = 2.15 \). Note that the EPR signal depends on \( \Delta \) and \( g_{ff} \) and only indirectly on \( (E/D)_{i} \) for all \( i \); the latter quantities can be obtained from Mössbauer spectroscopy.\(^{42}\)

We have recorded Mössbauer spectra of differrous ADO between 4.2 and 160 K in applied magnetic fields up to 8.0 T. Here, we list some relevant observations; detailed comments can be found in the SI. The presence of an EPR-active ground doublet, \( d_{EPR} \), with a small splitting,\(^{55}\) implies that the 4.2 K Mössbauer spectra exhibit paramagnetic hyperfine structure, even in moderate applied fields (mixing of the two levels, \( 12^{\text{pp}} \)) and \( 2^{\text{known}} \), by the applied magnetic field is proportional to \( g_{ff} \beta _{n}/\Delta \). Indeed, small applied fields \( B \) induce in ADO sizable magnetic hyperfine fields, \( B_{int}(i) \) at the \(^{57}\)Fe nuclei \( (i = 1, 2) \) along the molecular \( z \) direction (see the 0.3 T spectra of SI Figure S12), given by \( B_{int}(i) = -(\langle S \rangle A_{ii}/g_{ff} \beta _{n}) \). The expectation value \( \langle S \rangle = \langle 2^{\text{known}}\rangle \langle S \rangle \langle 1^{\text{known}}\rangle \) of the spin electron operator, determined by eq 2, reaches saturation for \( B \approx 1.5 \) T (SI Figure S11), assuming the value \( \langle S \rangle \approx 2 \langle S \rangle^{\text{known}} \), the only level significantly populated at 4.2 K for \( B \geq 1 \) T. Furthermore, for \( B > 1.5 \) T, the system is essentially decoupled, that is, at these fields for the spectral simulations of the 4.2 K spectra, one can set \( j = 0 \) and treat the spectra as if they were originating from two independent Fe\(^{II} \) sites.

Our simulations for \( B > 2.0 \) T yield \( A_{zff}/g_{ff} \beta _{n} = -6.5 \) T and \( A_{zff}/g_{ff} \beta _{n} = -8.0 \) T. \( A_{zff} \) and \( A_{zff} \) are the only parameters for which we found noticeable differences between the two sites. Between \( T = 25 \) and 50 K, in the regime of fast relaxation of the electronic spins, the spectra are fairly sensitive to the zero-field splitting parameters \( D_{1,2} \); our simulations suggest that \( -7 \text{cm}^{-1} < D_{1,2} < -5 \text{cm}^{-1} \). Above 100 K, the magnetic hyperfine field \( B_{int}(i) = -(\langle S \rangle A_{ii}/g_{ff} \beta _{n}) \) is only marginally dependent on the ZFS parameters \( (\langle S \rangle \beta _{n}) \) is the expectation value of \( S \langle S \rangle \) averaged over all thermally accessible spin levels.\(^{55}\) Under these conditions, we established the sign of \( \Delta E_{Q} \) and a good estimate for the A tensor components. This is discussed in greater detail in the SI. Finally, and significantly, the 0.3 T Mössbauer spectra of SI Figure S12 show that the EPR-active spin doublet with splitting \( \Delta \) is the ground state; hence, \( j < 0 \). With this information, and taking the EPR constraint \( \Delta \approx 0.31 \) \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) into account, we have simulated the spectra for the whole data set by least-squares fitting groups of spectra. These efforts yielded the parameters listed in Table 2 and the theoretical curves (red lines) of Figures 7 and SI S8. The simulations are not perfect, but given the complexity of the system, the simulations shown in Figures 7 and SI S8 represent the data quite well over a wide range of temperature and applied fields.

### 4. DISCUSSION

It is shown here that ADO can carry out reactions other than deformation of long-chain fatty aldehydes. This observation was facilitated by using liquid rather than head space GC/MS, which afforded the detection of low volatility compounds, and by investigating aldehydes shorter than the C\(_{18}\) physiological substrate. Importantly, it is shown here that all reactions of ADO require O\(_2\) and that oxygen from [\(^{18}\)O\]O\(_2\) is incorporated into the \((n - 1)\) alcohol products reported here. This suggests that the diiron cluster can activate oxygen and promote reaction chemistry similar to that observed for MMO and other diiron cluster-containing oxygenases. This is consistent with the formation of a high-valence intermediate at some point in the ADO reaction cycle. The relevance of such a species to the deformation and oxygenation reactions is discussed here.

#### 4.1. Correlation of Substrate Chain Length and Alternative Product Formation

It is shown above that the relative yields of \((n - 1)\) alkane, alcohol, and aldehyde products vary with the length of the substrate fatty aldehyde. The peak production of the \((n - 1)\) aldehyde and alcohol products relative to alkane occurs with C\(_{9}\)–C\(_{10}\)-length aldehyde substrates. The C\(_{9}–C_{10}\) substrate length corresponds to the position of a \(90^\circ\) bend in the substrate binding pocket of ADO relative to the diiron cluster as revealed by the X-ray crystal structure of the diferferric enzyme (PDB 2OCS)\(^{11}\) (Figure 9). Without the additional anchoring gained from binding on both sides of the bend, the \((n - 1)\) carbon of the shorter substrate may be able to shift more easily into position to react at the diiron cluster following release of the terminal carbon as formate, as further discussed below. Such a shift would facilitate the types of reactions commonly observed for MMO and similar bacterial multicomponent dinuclear-iron-cluster-containing monooxygenases (BMM family). This suggests a mechanism that accounts for both deformation and the formation of \((n - 1)\) alcohol and aldehyde products.

#### 4.2. A Proposal for the Mechanism of ADO and the Formation of \((n - 1)\) Products

Members of the BMM family normally react poorly with aldehyde substrates, and these reactions result in oxidation rather than deformylation. In contrast, some cytochrome P450s, such as sterol 14R-demethylase (CYP51) from Mycobacterium tuberculosis react with aldehyde adducts of steroids, resulting in deformylation and desaturation of the steroid.\(^{56–58}\) Computational studies have suggested that the CYP51 reaction is initiated by attack of the heme Fe\(^{II}\)-peroxo intermediate at the aldehydic carbon to form an Fe-peroxohemiacetal intermediate. In the protein...
environment, this initiates facile heterolytic C–C bond cleavage to yield a substrate carbanion and an Fe-peroxoformate adduct. Heterolytic O–O bond cleavage would yield formate and the FeIV-oxo heme π cation radical compound I of CYP51, but this reaction is apparently coupled with one-electron transfer from the substrate carbanion to yield compound II. Compound II can, in turn, accept a second electron from the substrate to yield the desaturated product.

Very similar chemical steps can be proposed for the initial deformylation reaction carried out by ADO (Figure 10). A peroxo intermediate isoelectric to that formed in P450 is observed for most members of the BMM family.29,32,34,59,60 One electron from each iron of the diferrous cluster is transferred to O2 as the adduct forms. Attack of this species on the substrate aldehyde and subsequent C–C bond cleavage could proceed as described for CYP51. However, the additional activation energy required to abstract an electron from the carbanion located on the primary carbon of the alkane versus the secondary carbon of the steroid ring suggests that electron transfer coincident with O–O bond cleavage is unlikely. The product of direct expulsion of formate would be an FeIIFeIV-oxo species isoelectronic with compound I of P450. Such a species has been trapped and characterized as compound Q of the MMOH catalytic cycle.32,34,61

MMOH compound Q is known to carry out many types of reactions, including desaturation.41 If ADO forms a Q-like intermediate as a consequence of the deformylation reaction, then it might form the (n – 1) alcohol and aldehyde products we observe here by the mechanism shown in Figure 10. The initially formed (n – 1) hydrocarbon could either be released or remain in the active site and shift to react with the putative Q-like intermediate via a hydrogen atom abstraction and rebound mechanism similar to that described for MMO. Release of the resulting alcohol, followed by rebinding to the fraction of ADO that initially released hydrocarbon, could result in (n – 1) aldehyde formation because this fraction would retain a Q-like intermediate.

Several predictions can be made on the basis of the mechanism shown in Figure 10. First, the (n – 1) alcohol product should be 13C-labeled at (the new) C1 when the substrate aldehyde is doubly labeled at C1 and C2 because it is formed without intermediate release from the enzyme. Second, the release and rebinding of the intermediate alcohol suggests that addition of 13C-labeled alcohol to a reaction started with unlabeled substrate aldehyde would result in incorporation of label into the (n – 1) aldehyde. Third, the total product and, in particular, the ratio of the (n – 1) alkane and aldehyde products would not be altered by addition of exogenous alcohol because the maximum aldehyde yield is determined by the fraction of enzyme that initially releases (n – 1) alkane. Fourth, the ratio of alkane released versus bound is likely to depend strongly on the nature of the initial aldehyde substrate. Consequently, the distribution of (n – 1) products should differ from substrate to substrate. Each of these predictions has been shown here to be correct.

One potential difficulty with the mechanism is the possible binding of substrate aldehyde to the fraction of ADO with an active Q-like cluster following release of the alkane. This might compete with the low concentration of diffusible alcohol product to form an (n – 1) carboxylic acid product, but no enzyme-dependent acid formation is observed.

The mechanism we propose suggests that ADO can utilize the diiron cluster to carry out three substantially different types of chemistry: namely, attack by a peroxo adduct leading to aldehydes.41
deformylation, hydrogen atom abstraction followed by rebound to give alkane hydroxylation, and two-electron oxidation of an alcohol. The latter two reactions are well-known reactions for MMO, but the first reaction has not been observed. This suggests that the reactive diiron cluster of ADO, while similar to that of MMOH, must have specific differences that tune it to aldehyde deformylation. We do not yet know what these differences are, but we wish to make two comments. The integer spin EPR signals of diferrous ADO and MMOH are quite similar. This similarity reflects two properties of the electronic states: First, in both proteins, the two Fe^II have negative zero-field splittings, which yield local ground states derived from the m_f = ±2 and m_f = ±2 spin levels of the two local sites. Second, both proteins have diiron(II) centers coupled by weak ferromagnetic exchange interactions. Although the two clusters look deceptively similar from the perspective of EPR, the Mössbauer data reveal substantial differences. Thus, diferrous ADO has (essentially) equivalent Fe^III sites in structurally well-defined environments, in contrast to diferrous MMOH, which has inequivalent Fe sites, as witnessed by the observations that the sites have very distinct ΔE_Q values (ΔE_Q(1) ≈ 3.2 mm/s and ΔE_Q(2) ≈ 2.4 mm/s) and different magnetic hyperfine tensors (see Figure 13 of ref 42). ΔE_Q(1) of MMOH is well-defined (in all preparations), yielding a quadrupole doublet with sharp lines. In contrast, ΔE_Q(2) in all preparations is distributed with a variance σ_ΔE_Q = 0.3 mm/s if a Gaussian distribution about the average 2.4 mm/s is assumed. This distribution reflects a structural heterogeneity that is not yet understood. However, the successful analysis of the ADO spectra gives us confidence that we may obtain, finally, a good set of spin Hamiltonian parameters of this important protein.

The small value obtained for the exchange coupling constant of diferrous ADO (J ≈ −0.2 cm⁻¹) suggests, on the basis of the reported studies of MMOH and RNR-R2,³¹,³²,⁴² that the ferrous sites are bridged by two carboxylates with unknown bridging modes (μ-(η^1, η^2) and/or μ-1,3 coordination).⁶⁶ The bearing of these differences on the distinct reactivities of the two enzymes is not clear and will require further study.

4.3. Comparison with Previous Mechanistic Studies.

Previous studies of ADO from two different cyanobacteria have shown that (i) oxygen from [¹⁸O]O₂ is incorporated into the product formate, (ii) hydrogen is retained by the terminal carbon, and (iii) hydrogen from solvent is incorporated into the alkane product.¹⁴,²⁶ Each of these findings is consistent with the mechanism proposed in Figure 10. Recently, it has been shown that an alternative octadecanal substrate with an additional cyclopropyl group at C₃–C₄ is converted to a ring-open terminal alkene product characteristic of intermediate radical formation.⁵³ It was suggested that one way this could occur is through homolytic C–C bond cleavage. The alternative presented in Figure 10 is that the substrate radical is formed as in the MMO reaction by hydrogen atom abstraction from the cyclopropyl alkane. Delocalization and ring-opening would then rapidly occur, but in a MMO-type reaction, rebound of the hydroxyl radical would be expected to yield octadecanol. Instead, enzyme inactivation and formation of a covalent adduct occurred, presumably by trapping of the radical by a group in the enzyme active site. The mechanisms that have been proposed by others for ADO on the basis of previous studies require the input of four electrons from NADH or another source for each cycle because the Fe^IIIFe^III cluster must be reduced to the Fe^IIFe^III state to bind O₂ and then the equivalent of the Fe^IVFe^IV cluster remaining after the deformylation reaction must be returned to the Fe^IIIFe^III state. The mechanism proposed here requires only 2 electrons from NADH because the formal Fe^IVFe^IV species is utilized for mixture of hydroxylations and oxidation reactions in which the electrons are derived from the substrates. Unfortunately, it is not currently possible to accurately account for NADH (or other reducing equivalent) utilization because the authentic biological reductase has not been isolated.

CONCLUSIONS

We show here that ADO is a significantly more versatile catalyst than previously recognized. It is apparently possible to activate the enzyme for alkane hydroxylation by reacting the enzyme with an intermediate chain alkyl hydroperoxide. The C–H bond dissociation energy of the substrate alkane for the hydroxylation reaction is ~98 kcal/mol, placing ADO among the most potent biological oxidants. This strongly supports the formation of a compound Q-like intermediate as a key feature of the ADO mechanism and opens the possibility of adapting the enzyme for a wide range of chemistry involving abundant hydrocarbons.
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