

Received Date : 01-Jun-2015

Revised Date : 15-Jul-2015

Accepted Date : 24-Jul-2015

Article type : Resource

Sequencing of 15,622 gene-bearing BACs clarifies the gene-dense regions of the barley genome

María Muñoz-Amatriaín^{1†}, Stefano Lonardi^{2†}, MingCheng Luo³, Kavitha Madishetty¹, Jan T Svensson^{1,4}, Matthew J Moscou^{1,5}, Steve Wanamaker¹, Tao Jiang², Andris Kleinhofs⁶, Gary J Muehlbauer⁷, Roger P Wise⁸, Nils Stein⁹, Yaqin Ma^{1,3}, Edmundo Rodriguez^{1,10}, Dave Kudrna¹¹, Prasanna R Bhat^{1,12}, Shiaoan Chao¹³, Pascal Condamine¹, Shane Heinen⁷, Josh Resnik¹, Rod Wing¹¹, Heather N Witt³, Matthew Alpert², Marco Beccuti^{2,14}, Serdar Bozdag^{2,15}, Francesca Cordero^{2,14}, Hamid Mirebrahim², Rachid Ounit², Yonghui Wu², Frank You¹⁶, Jie Zheng^{2,17}, Hana Šimková¹⁸, Jaroslav Doležal¹⁸, Jane Grimwood¹⁹, Jeremy Schmutz¹⁹, Denisa Duma², Lothar Altschmied⁹, Tom Blake²⁰, Phil Bregitzer²¹, Laurel Cooper²², Muharrem Dilbirli^{6,23}, Anders Falk²⁴, Leila Feiz^{20,25}, Andreas Graner⁹, Perry Gustafson²⁶, Patrick M Hayes²², Peggy Lemaux²⁷, Jafar Mammadov^{28,29}, Timothy J Close¹

¹Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside, USA; ²Department of Computer Science, University of California, Riverside, USA; ³Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, USA; ⁴Nordic Genetic Resource Center, Alnarp, Sweden; ⁵The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK; ⁶Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, USA; ⁷Department of Plant Biology, Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, USA; ⁸Corn Insects and Crop Genetics Research, USDA-Agricultural Research Service & Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Iowa State University, Ames, USA; ⁹Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany; ¹⁰Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, México; ¹¹Arizona Genomics Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA; ¹²Monsanto Research Center, Bangalore, India; ¹³USDA-ARS Biosciences Research Lab, Fargo, USA; ¹⁴Department of Computer Science, University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ¹⁵Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Marquette University, Milwaukee, USA; ¹⁶Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Morden, Manitoba, Canada; ¹⁷School of Computer Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore; ¹⁸Institute of Experimental Botany, Centre of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Olomouc, Czech Republic; ¹⁹US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, USA & Hudson Alpha Genome Sequencing Center, Huntsville, USA; ²⁰Department of Plant Sciences & Plant Pathology, Montana State University, Bozeman, USA; ²¹USDA-ARS, Aberdeen, USA; ²²Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, USA; ²³The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, International Cooperation Department, Ankara, Turkey; ²⁴Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden; ²⁵Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA; ²⁶USDA, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA;

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article', doi: 10.1111/tpj.12959

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

²⁷Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, USA; ²⁸Department of Crop & Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA; ²⁹Dow AgroSciences LLC, Indianapolis, USA.

† Equal contributors.

Corresponding author: Timothy J. Close, Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, 2150 Batchelor Hall, 900 University Ave., Riverside, CA 92521 (USA). Phone: (951) 827-3318. Fax: (951) 827-4437. Email: timothy.close@ucr.edu.

E-mails:

María Muñoz-Amatriaín: maria.munoz-amatriain@ucr.edu
Stefano Lonardi: stelo@cs.ucr.edu
MingCheng Luo: mcluo@ucdavis.edu
Kavitha Madishetty: kavithavcs@yahoo.com
Jan T Svensson: jt.svensson@gmail.com
Matthew J Moscou: matthew.moscou@sainsbury-laboratory.ac.uk
Steve Wanamaker: steve.wanamaker@ucr.edu
Tao Jiang: jiang@cs.ucr.edu
Andris Kleinhofs: andyk@wsu.edu
Gary J Muehlbauer: muehl003@umn.edu
Roger P Wise: roger.wise@ars.usda.gov
Nils Stein: stein@ipk-gatersleben.de
Yaqin Ma: huilingm@yahoo.com
Edmundo Rodriguez: edmundo.rdz@uaaan.mx
Dave Kudrna: dkudrna@Ag.arizona.edu
Prasanna R Bhat: prasannarb@gmail.com
Shiaoman Chao: Shiaoman.Chao@ars.usda.gov
Pascal Condamine: pascalcondamine@gmail.com
Shane Heinen: heine016@umn.edu
Josh Resnik: jresnik@gmail.com
Rod Wing: rwing@Ag.arizona.edu
Heather N Witt: hwitt@usc.edu
Matthew Alpert: malpert@ucr.edu
Marco Beccuti: beccuti@di.unito.it
Serdar Bozdag: serdar.bozdag@marquette.edu
Francesca Cordero: fcordero@di.unito.it
Hamid Mirebrahim: smire002@ucr.edu
Rachid Ounit: rouni001@ucr.edu
Yonghui Wu: yonghui@google.com
Frank You: Frank.You@agr.gc.ca
Jie Zheng: ZhengJie@ntu.edu.sg
Hana Šimková: simkovah@ueb.cas.cz
Jaroslav Doležel: dolezal@ueb.cas.cz

Jane Grimwood: jgrimwood@hudsonalpha.org
Jeremy Schmutz: jschmutz@hudsonalpha.org
Denisa Duma: duma@bcm.edu
Lothar Altschmied: lothar@ipk-gatersleben.de
Tom Blake: blake@montana.edu
Phil Bregitzer: Phil.Bregitzer@ars.usda.gov
Laurel Cooper: laurel.cooper@oregonstate.edu
Muharrem Dilbirligi: muhdil@hotmail.com
Anders Falk: Anders.Falk@vbsg.slu.se
Leila Feiz: lf259@cornell.edu
Andreas Graner: a_graner@ipk-gatersleben.de
Perry Gustafson: pgus@missouri.edu
Patrick M Hayes: patrick.m.hayes@oregonstate.edu
Peggy Lemaux: lemauxpg@nature.berkeley.edu
Jafar Mammadov: jamammadov@dow.com
Timothy J Close: timothy.close@ucr.edu

Running title: Sequencing of 15,622 barley gene-bearing BACs.

Keywords: Barley, *Hordeum vulgare* L., BAC sequencing, gene distribution, recombination frequency, synteny, centromere BACs, HarvEST:Barley, *Aegilops tauschii*.

Accession numbers

The BAC sequence assemblies supporting the results of this article are available in NCBI under accession numbers: AC250421 to AC252610, AC256303 to AC269749, AC256237 to AC256288, AC250484, AC250784, AC250371, AC251557, AC251639, AC251663, AC251805, AC251814, AC252228, AC252453, and AC252497. Flow-sorted chromosome arm sequences can be found at NCBI under accession no. SRX143974.

Summary

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) possesses a large and highly repetitive genome of 5.1 Gb that has hindered the development of a complete sequence. In 2012, the International Barley Sequencing Consortium released a resource integrating whole-genome shotgun sequences with a physical and genetic framework. However, because only 6,278 BACs in the physical map were sequenced, fine structure was limited. To gain access to the gene-containing portion of the barley genome at high resolution, we identified and sequenced 15,622 BACs representing the minimal

tiling path of 72,052 physical-mapped gene-bearing BACs. This generated ~1.7 Gb of genomic sequence containing an estimated 2/3 of all Morex barley genes. Exploration of these sequenced BACs revealed that although distal ends of chromosomes contain most of the gene-enriched BACs and are characterized by high recombination rates, there are also gene-dense regions with suppressed recombination. We made use of published map-anchored sequence data from *Aegilops tauschii* to develop a synteny viewer between barley and the ancestor of the wheat D genome. Except for some notable inversions, there is a high level of collinearity between the two species. The software HarvEST:Barley provides facile access to BAC sequences and their annotations, along with the barley-*Ae. tauschii* synteny viewer. These BAC sequences constitute a resource to improve the efficiency of marker development, map-based cloning, and comparative genomics in barley and related crops. Additional knowledge about regions of the barley genome that are gene-dense but low-recombination is particularly relevant.

Introduction

Since Neolithic times, barley has played a major role as a source of food, feed, and beer (Ullrich 2010). The ability of barley to adapt to marginal environments together with the distinctive grain characteristics make barley a versatile crop that is grown worldwide (reviewed in Muñoz-Amatriaín et al. 2014). However, genes determining these valuable features are contained in a highly-repetitive and complex genome almost twice the size of that in humans.

With the advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS), the barley community envisioned the sequencing of a complete barley genome (Schulte et al. 2009). Chromosome sorting by flow cytometry reduced the genome complexity allowing the application of NGS to barley chromosome arms (Doležel et al. 2012), which was applied to assemble the detected genes in a synteny-based virtual linear order (Mayer et al. 2011). In 2012, The International Barley Sequencing Consortium (IBSC) released an extensive genome sequence resource that integrated annotated whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequences within a physical and genetic framework (IBSC 2012). Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) and BAC-end sequences assisted the incorporation of WGS sequence data into the physical map, and the integration of the physical and genetic maps. Most of the 6,278 sequenced BACs that were included in that work were gene-bearing BACs identified from the Yu et al. (2000) library of cv. Morex. Subsequently, additional anchoring of WGS contigs by POPSEQ (POPulation SEQuencing; Mascher et al. 2013) led to an improved coupling of the barley physical map (Ariyadasa et al. 2014) to the genetic map. However, resolution was still quite limited as it included only the BAC sequences that were previously published (IBSC 2012), providing a ‘sequence-ready’ physical map (Ariyadasa et al. 2014).

Sequencing the entire barley genome has been a challenge due to difficulties resolving the abundant and complex repetitive regions during assembly (Stein and Steuernagel 2014). However, the makeup of the barley genome presents some opportune portals of entry. Estimates indicate that barley gene content is similar to that of the grass model rice, even though the latter is almost 12 times smaller (IRGSP 2005; IBSC 2012). Several studies have shown that the >80% repetitive DNA is not randomly distributed across the barley genome and that there are gene-rich

regions with relatively little repetitive DNA which exhibit extensive collinearity with other grasses (e.g. Feuillet and Keller 1999; Sandhu and Gill 2002; Varshney et al. 2006; Wicker et al. 2009). A selective sequencing strategy to target gene-containing regions of the genome has provided a feasible approach to explore and characterize the genomic features of the gene-rich portion of barley, the Triticeae model genome.

In an effort that started over a decade ago, the original Yu et al. (2000) BAC library constructed from cv. Morex was screened for gene-containing BACs. Here we present the development of a minimal tiling path (MTP) of 15,711 'gene-bearing' BACs, summaries of annotated and map-anchored sequences of 15,622 of these clones, and facile access to this information. An earlier version of the sequence assembly for over 2,000 of these MTP BACs was released with the 2012 genome sequence resource publication (IBSC 2012). Here we provide much-improved sequence assemblies for those clones, along with all of the remaining MTP of gene-bearing BAC contigs. These ~1.7 Gb of gene-rich genomic sequence expand our knowledge of the characteristic features of the gene-containing regions. Furthermore, this resource will improve the speed and precision of map-based cloning and marker development in barley and closely related species while supporting ongoing efforts in obtaining a complete reference sequence of barley.

Results and discussion

A physical map of the gene-containing portion of the barley genome

The barley (cv. Morex) BAC library described by Yu et al. (2000) has been extensively used for positional gene cloning (e.g. Wei et al. 1999 and 2002; Yan et al. 2006; Komatsuda et al. 2007), comparative sequence analysis between related species (e.g. Dubcovsky et al. 2001; Griffiths et

al. 2003) and physical mapping (IBSC 2012; Ariyadasa et al. 2014). This library, composed of 313,344 BAC clones representing 6.3x haploid genome coverage, was screened with genic probes to identify a subset of 83,831 ‘gene-bearing’ BACs (hereafter referred to simply as gene-bearing BACs or GB-BACs). Rearrays encompassing these 83,831 BACs were fingerprinted using the high information contig fingerprinting (HICF) method of Luo et al. (2003). Among the 72,052 clones that were effectively fingerprinted, 61,454 were assembled into 10,794 contigs (Data S1) using a compartmentalized assembly method (Bozdag et al. 2009). This assembly thus had an average of 5.7 BACs per fingerprinted contig (FPC) along with 10,598 singletons. The assembly is available at <http://phymap.ucdavis.edu/barley/> in the database ‘Barley Compartmentalized PhyMap V14’.

MTP clones were chosen using the FMTP method of Bozdag et al. (2013) to reduce redundancy prior to sequencing. The set of MTP clones included a total of 15,711 unique BACs. The MTP presented in this study was computed independently from that generated by the IBSC (2012). Data S1 provides a summary of the origination of the full list of GB-BACs and these MTP clones.

Gene-bearing MTP BAC-clone sequencing and assembly

To sequence the 15,711 unique BAC clones comprising the gene-bearing MTP we followed essentially the pooled-clone combinatorial sequencing method described by Lonardi et al. (2013). In brief, eight sets of BAC clones (sets HV3 to HV10) were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2000. High-quality reads were assigned (or *deconvoluted*) to individual BAC clones and then assembled BAC-by-BAC using Velvet v. 1.2.09 (Zerbino et al. 2008). Only *nodes* (i.e.,

Velvet contigs) with a size of at least 200 bp were used for further analysis in the present work. Assembly statistics for nodes of different minimum sizes are reported in Table S1.

A total of 15,622 BAC assemblies were obtained, which represents 99.4% of all MTP BACs attempted to be sequenced. These BAC assemblies had an average N50 of 23.9 kb and an average L50 of 2.8 nodes (Table 1). Altogether, the assembly generated 1.7 Gb of gene-rich genomic sequence, which amounts to ~33.3% of the barley genome (ca 5.1 Gb; IBSC 2012) (Table 1).

BACs were assigned to barley chromosome arms using a tool called CLARK (CLAssifier based on Reduced K-mers; Ounit et al. 2015). Using flow-sorted materials (Suchánková et al. 2006) that were shotgun sequenced and assembled (see Experimental procedures), this approach allocated 15,216 BAC assemblies (97.4% of those sequenced) with high confidence to chromosome 1H or arms of chromosomes 2H-7H (Table 1; Data S1). The number of BACs per chromosome ranged from 1,936 for 6H to 2,439 for 2H (Table 1). We observed a linear relationship between the number of sequenced BACs per arm and the molecular size of the corresponding barley chromosome arm reported by Suchánková et al. (2006) ($r=0.953$; Figure S1). This outcome indicates that chromosomal gene content in barley is proportional to size. It should be noted that 60 BACs are located in a region that is overlapped by 4HS and 4HL cytogenetic stocks, which we defined as '4HC'. Some of them could be centromere clones (see below). Only 406 BACs could not be assigned to 1H or an arm of any other chromosome. Physical chimerism, or cross-contamination of cultures or DNA samples during handling seem to be the most likely explanations of assignment failure for these clones, which as a group have anomalous metrics (see Table 1).

Previously predicted barley genes (IBSC 2012) classified into high-confidence (HC) and low-confidence (LC) were used to annotate BAC assemblies. A total of 17,386 HC and 21,175 LC gene models were found in our BAC sequences. The number of HC genes contained in the 15,622 BACs represents 67% of all annotated HC barley genes (26,159 genes; IBSC 2012). This value is derived from a large sample size, so it implies that this is the portion of all barley genes represented in these BACs, whether previously annotated or not. We noticed that some gene models generated BLAST alignments to a large number of BACs, so for several calculations we excluded any gene model hitting ten or more BACs (1,679 HC and 1,845 LC genes; Table S2) since their inclusion would obscure more meaningful information. These gene models most often seem to contain transposable element (TE)-related sequences. Over 88% of the MTP BACs (13,809 BACs) contained at least one gene model, which indicates that the number of false positives occurring during the identification of gene-bearing BACs was low.

Our short-read based sequencing and assembly methods were validated by comparing the assemblies to those from 997 of the same BACs that had been previously sequenced by other institutions using 454/Roche technology (IBSC 2012). Our assemblies covered, on average, 87.1% of BACs sequences that were generated using 454. Very similar gene densities and gene contents were found in assemblies produced independently by these two approaches (Table 2). Additionally, we compared 14 of our short-read based BAC assemblies to the complete sequences of the corresponding BACs produced using Sanger sequencing (14 of 50 sequenced by the Joint Genome Institute). On average, the short-read assemblies covered 88.3% of the complete BAC sequence with a range of 75.2% to 98.6%. While this is not 100% coverage, all of the HC gene models (40) and SNP design sequences (31) are included.

Distribution of genes in the barley genome and its correspondence with rice syntenic regions

Most of the sequenced BACs (78%) were plotted across the barley genome based on the physical coordinates provided by IBSC (2012). The observed enrichment of clones towards the ends of the chromosomes was expected (Figure 1; Figure S2); it has been previously reported that distal regions of barley chromosomes have higher gene density than regions nearer to centromeres (IBSC 2012). The sequences from these BACs allowed us to explore their gene content. On average we found 2.38 HC gene models per BAC, but some BACs did not contain any previously identified gene, while others contained over 10 HC genes. We further explored the distribution of BACs containing different numbers of genes along each chromosome. As shown in Figure 1 and Figure S2, the location of BACs highly enriched with genes is clearly biased towards the distal ends of the chromosomes, but additional hotspots also exist (e.g. regions indicated by arrows in Figure 1). Conversely, the frequency of BACs containing zero or only one HC gene is lower toward the telomeric ends (Figure 1, Figure S2). Peaks of BACs containing zero genes are usually located in more central positions of the chromosomes. We note that BACs in the ‘gene-bearing’ BAC list that have zero genes may have been false positives in the subjective scoring of library filter hybridizations. The uneven distribution of BACs containing at least three genes supports the idea of gene clustering that has been previously suggested for barley and other grass genomes (Barakat et al. 1997; Choulet et al. 2010; Gottlieb et al. 2013; Raats et al. 2013). In contrast with the variable BAC gene-content, a uniform GC content was detected along all barley chromosomes, with chromosome averages ranging from 44.4% (3H and 7H) to 44.6% (4H) and an average GC content for all BACs of 44.5% (SD = 1.3%). This constant GC content was also found in wheat BACs located in different regions of 3B (Choulet

et al. 2010) and in 1BS BAC-end sequences (Raats et al. 2013). The BAC GC-content percentages that we observe are similar to the GC composition of previously studied barley gene-bearing BACs (Dubcovsky et al. 2001; Wei et al. 2002) and comparable to those of rye (Bartoš et al. 2008) and wheat genomes (Choutlet et al. 2010; Raats et al. 2013). Although our dataset is biased toward gene-containing BACs, we did not find any significant difference in GC content between gene-rich and gene-poor BACs.

To explore barley-rice synteny, each barley BAC DNA sequence was compared to rice translated gene models available at the Rice Genome Annotation Project database (<http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/>) using BLASTX (see Experimental procedures). The syntenic relationships between rice and barley that were revealed (Figure 1 and Figure S2, lower plots) are consistent with previously reported observations (Stein et al. 2007; Close et al. 2009; Mayer et al. 2011). Single rice chromosomes (Os1 and Os2) are largely syntenic with barley 3H and 6H, respectively. Barley 5H has a more complex synteny with rice, sharing major syntenic regions with at least three rice chromosomes (Os3, Os9 and Os12). Each of the remaining barley chromosomes shares major blocks of conserved synteny with two rice chromosomes. In terms of gene content, we observed that the barley gene-enriched chromosome regions tend to correspond to rice regions of high gene density (obtained from IRGSP 2005). A clear example is barley chromosome 5H, where the gene-dense portion extends further from the long-arm distal end than in any other chromosome. This is the only distal region sharing synteny with gene-enriched distal portions of two rice chromosomes. Indeed, the 5HL distal region shows two peaks of gene enrichment, which coincide with each of the two ancestors of rice chromosomes (Figure 1). In sharp contrast, the prominent peak that is visible in the central region of 2H does not have clear synteny to a gene-rich region of the rice genome (Figure 1).

Centromeric region of 4H

As mentioned above, 60 BACs were assigned to the region of overlap between the long and short flow-sorted arms of chromosome 4H (4HC), some of which may be centromere clones. Only two of those BACs (0143O21 and 0474D04) contained a mapped Oligo Pool Assay (OPA) SNP (1_0424; Close et al. 2009), which was previously confirmed in the pericentromeric region of 4H (Muñoz-Amatriaín et al. 2011). We also explored the gene content of these BACs. Only 14 BACs contain HC gene models when excluding highly frequent gene models (mostly TE-related) (Table S3). This is an expected finding since centromeric regions are known to have low gene density. Although we did not find any annotated gene encoding the highly conserved centromere-specific histone cenH3 (Zhong et al. 2002), we found genes previously identified in the centromere of rice chromosome 3 (ribosomal protein S5 and magnesium chelatase; Yan et al. 2006) and a gene for retinoblastoma-related protein, which has been recently shown to play an essential regulatory role in the assembly of cenH3 at Arabidopsis centromeres (Lermotova et al. 2013). Satellite sequences and centromere-specific retrotransposons are the major constituents of centromeres in plants. While satellite repeats are problematic due to difficulties in the assembly of these tandem repeats, several retrotransposons including the conserved Ty3/gypsy type (Langdon et al. 2000; Hudakova et al. 2001) were found when inspecting highly frequent genes in these BACs (Table S3). These observations may provide useful leads for further studies of the centromere of barley chromosome 4H.

Although specific *k*-mers were identified for centromeric regions of overlap of all other barley chromosomes except 1H (Ounit et al. 2015), none of our sequenced BACs were assigned to those regions. This is probably because, in 4H, the region shared between flow-sorted short

and long arms is larger than that in any other chromosome. However, when additional barley BACs are sequenced, it may be possible to identify centromeric BACs for other barley chromosomes. The use of analysis tools based on discriminative k -mer (such as CLARK) in combination with chromosome arm sequence data could be used as an approach to define centromeric regions in other species where flow-sorted arms exist (i.e. bread wheat).

Identification of deviant genomic regions

Triticeae chromosomes exhibit an increase in gene density and recombination rate along the centromere-telomere axis (Dvořák 2009). This general trend has been observed in sequence data from barley (IBSC 2012; Zeng et al. 2015), wheat (Raats et al. 2013; Choulet et al. 2014), and *Ae. tauschii* (Luo et al. 2013), and it is common to other grasses (i.e. Brachypodium, rice, and maize). The possibility of examining larger pieces of genome sequence (i.e., BACs) accounting for one third of the barley genome allowed us to take a closer look at how recombination rate distribution relates to gene distribution. As shown in Figure 2, recombination frequency generally increases along the centromere-telomere axis. Similarly, gene density distribution is not uniform along the chromosomes and it is usually correlated with recombination frequency (i.e., higher in distal ends). However, we also observe regions that clearly deviate from these general characteristics; there are regions with relatively high gene density embedded within areas with suppressed recombination. The two clearest examples are in chromosomes 2H and 5H (Figure 2, grey arrows). The 2H region coincides with the prominent peak of gene-rich BACs shown in Figure 1 and comprises ~18 Mb. A total of 50 BACs containing 84 HC unique gene models (Table S4) are located in this region of 2H. GO-term enrichment analyses performed in agriGO (Du et al. 2010) using GO terms available from MIPS (<http://pgsb.helmholtz->

muenchen.de/plant/barley/; Nussbaumer et al. 2013) revealed a slight enrichment for genes belonging to category ‘cellular component organization’, including alpha-tubulins, peptide chain release factors, and a thylakoid-formation protein (thf1) (Table S4), which perform essential cellular functions. This barley region shares conserved synteny with the *Ae. tauschii* 2D region extending from 168 to 182 Mb in the Luo et al. (2013) physical map (ctg3995, ctg157 and ctg3482).

The 5H region is much larger, extending for approximately 60 Mb, including 136 BACs and 174 HC gene models. Interestingly, the GO category ‘response to biotic stimulus’ was a highly overrepresented. A set of seven genes belonging to the ‘Bet v I type allergen’ family of proteins is responsible for this enrichment. Different pollen allergens and pathogenesis-related proteins (i.e. STH-21; Table S4) are included in this family. Due to their homology with pathogenesis-related proteins, Bet v I pollen allergens are considered to be involved in pathogen resistance of pollen (Breiteneder et al. 1989). This recombination cold-spot did not contain any of the rapidly evolving nucleotide binding, leucine-rich-repeat (NLR) encoding R genes, which tend to cluster in high-recombination distal regions of the barley chromosomes (IBSC 2012; Muñoz-Amatriaín et al. 2013). The other enriched GO category in the highlighted 5H region was ‘DNA replication’, involving genes with more conserved functions. Some barley BACs in this region are syntenic to *Ae. tauschii* sequences at 94 Mb on 5D (ctg582; Luo et al. 2013).

A list of HC genes located in the aforementioned regions is provided in Table S4. To facilitate the exploration of these and other regions of the barley genome, Data S2 contains the recombination frequency and gene density data corresponding to Figure 2 with detailed

information of barley physical and genetic positions. As recombination determines the extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD), it impacts both genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and genomic selection (GS). Regions of high LD result in spurious marker-trait associations in GWAS. Modification of GS models to emphasize recombinants in low-recombination regions can be particularly important in regions with higher gene content where genetic load may also be higher (Morrell et al. 2012). Similarly, marker-assisted trait introgression and map-based cloning are affected by recombination, since large populations may be required to break linkage drag or to find markers within a short physical distance of the target gene. Thus, knowledge of recombination rates and gene densities provided in this work is of relevance for the effective use of genetic variation in barley and related species.

HarvEST:Barley allows easy access to GB-BAC sequence assemblies and synteny with *Ae. tauschii*

To successfully deploy genome sequence information for crop improvement, it is critical to make it easily accessible. We have developed an online interface to provide access to the latest sequence assemblies (v.4.1) from 15,622 MTP gene-bearing BACs (<http://harvest-web.org/hweb/utimenu.wc>). BAC sequences and their annotations can be retrieved by ‘BAC address’, which can be obtained by BLAST via <http://www.harvest-blast.org/> using the user’s input sequence. Since high-throughput SNP genotyping (Close et al. 2009; Comadran et al. 2012) is routinely used in modern barley breeding, genome data can also be downloaded using a ‘SNP name’ as a query. Alternatively, HarvEST:Barley (<http://harvest.ucr.edu/>) may be installed on a personal computer to export BAC sequences, gene annotations and both physical and genetic map coordinates in a similar manner, with additional options of exporting BACs by

chromosome arm or genetic map interval. HarvEST:Barley is available for Microsoft Windows as a single 1.3 GB installation file and does not require an internet connection to operate. These two interfaces also provide the option of accessing information from only the specified BACs or all BACs in the same contig.

Along with the 15,622 BAC sequences described in the present work, the databases noted above contain sequences from additional BACs from the Yu et al. (2000) library. This includes: 3,153 BAC assemblies generated using 454 sequencing (IBSC 2012); 50 BACs fully sequenced at the Joint Genome Institute by Sanger sequencing; and 21 BAC sequences available from the National Center for Bioinformatics (NCBI) database. A list of these BACs sequenced by other methods, some of which coincide with the BACs that we sequenced, can be found in Data S3.

The latest version of HarvEST:Barley has implemented a barley-*Aegilops tauschii* synteny viewer, enabling facile comparisons between barley and this diploid ancestor of the wheat D genome. The map-anchored SNP design sequences from *Aegilops tauschii* (Luo et al. 2013) were compared with our BAC sequences to relate barley BACs to orthologous positions in wheat linkage groups. Similarly, barley SNP design sequences were used to anchor barley BACs to barley linkage groups. The net result was to cross-relate barley and *A. tauschii* via the sequenced BACs (see Experimental procedures for more information). We found clear synteny between barley and *Ae. tauschii* (Figure S3) in every linkage group. In addition, several substantial inversions were identified on chromosomes 1H (69.7-74.1 cM and 104.1-114.9 cM), 2H (21.7-26.9 cM and 84.5-88.0 cM), 3H (11.0-20.03 cM and 86.9-103.8 cM), and 4H (98.7-102.4 cM). This synteny browser is in addition to the previously available barley-rice and barley-*Brachypodium distachyon* synteny displays within HarvEST:Barley, all of which can be

retrieved by selecting either of the two latest barley genetic consensus maps (Muñoz-Amatriaín et al. 2011; Muñoz-Amatriaín et al. 2014).

Conclusions

Accessing the entire genome sequence of the economically important crop and the Triticeae genetic model, barley, has proven difficult due to its large and highly repetitive genome. Here we identified regions that contain genes by screening a BAC library made from the reference genotype 'Morex' using genic probes, followed by BAC clone sequencing. Analysis of the ~1.7 Gb of gene-rich genomic sequence corresponding to 15,622 'gene-bearing' BACs shows that in addition to distal ends of chromosomes containing most of the gene-enriched regions, gene-rich islands exist in more interior positions of the chromosomes. Interestingly, some of these interior islands are in areas with suppressed recombination, which has both practical and evolutionary implications. Another outcome of this work was to identify several BACs from chromosome 4H that may include the actual centromere. The method of defining arm-specific *k*-mers that led to this outcome is broadly applicable to other species where flow-sorted chromosome arms are available. Access to these BAC sequences and their annotations is facilitated through an online interface and the software HarvEST:Barley, which additionally contains a barley-*Aegilops tauschii* synteny viewer. We found a highly syntenic relationship between these two species, except for a few clear inversions on chromosomes 1H-4H. This study constitutes an additional resource for the Triticeae community that will improve the speed and precision of map-based cloning and marker development while supporting ongoing efforts to obtain a complete reference sequence of barley.

Experimental procedures

Identification of gene-containing BACs

A 6.3x haploid-genome-equivalent barley BAC library (Yu et al. 2000) was obtained as library filter sets from Clemson University Genomics Institute, as were rearranged cultures of BAC clones used for fingerprinting. This library was constructed using *HindIII* partially digested cultivar Morex DNA ligated to pBeloBAC11 vector and arrayed on 17 filters, each with 18,432 clones. Compilation of 81,831 gene-bearing BACs was accomplished in two ways. A total of 21,689 BACs were identified from information provided by several researchers based on their prior work (Data S1). In addition, oligonucleotide probes ('overgos') designed mainly from transcript sequences (EST unigenes) were used in hybridizations to identify 72,141 putative gene-bearing BACs. The union of these two sets was 83,831 unique GB-BACs. Information regarding the pools of probes used for BAC identification and details of the hybridization process can be found in Methods S1.

As the hybridizations using pools of overgos progressed, we observed gradually fewer newly identified BACs per hybridization. The frequency of new BACs identified for each of the large pools of probes generally diminished from a starting range of 60-85% to a range of 27-57%. To provide an estimate of the percentage of all possible gene-bearing BACs identified in this work, the hybridization data were randomly shuffled and sampled 10,000 times to plot the number of unique BACs identified as a function of the number of probe pools applied. Extrapolation provided an estimate of the number of pools that would be necessary to approach the asymptotic limit of the number of gene-bearing BACs. From this treatment of the data, we estimated 107,882 gene-bearing BACs in the 6.3X Morex library (Figure S4), which is roughly

1/3 of all BACs genome-wide. However, the nature of our BAC detection method (genic probes) made it more likely that we would find a BAC containing multiple genes than a BAC carrying only one gene, and as a consequence the asymptotic limit could be higher than indicated by this extrapolation.

BAC-clone fingerprinting and assembly

BAC DNA was isolated and fingerprinted according to Luo et al. (2003). BAC clones maintained in a 384-well plate were inoculated into four 96-deep well plates containing 1.2 mL 2X YT medium with 12.5 µg/mL chloramphenicol and grown at 37° C for 24 h. BAC DNA was isolated with the Qiagen R.E.A.L 96-Prep kit either manually or by Qiagen robot. 0.5-1.2 µg of DNA was then digested with 5 units each of *Bam*HI, *Eco*RI, *Xba*I, *Xho*I and *Hae*III enzymes and transferred to SNaPshot multiplex labeling solution. Capillary electrophoresis was performed on the DNA using ABI internal size standard LIZ-500 (35-500 bp) in an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA). GenoProfiler software (You et al. 2007) was used for automated editing of sized fingerprinting profiles generated by the ABI Genetic Analyzers (Applied Biosystems, USA). The batch-processing module extracts sized fragment information either directly from the ABI raw trace files or from data files exported from GeneMapper (Applied Biosystems, USA) or other size calling software, removes background noise and undesired fragments, and generates fragment size files. High-throughput fingerprinting was attempted for 83,831 clones. 2,967 clones (5%) were deleted during fingerprint editing due to lack of insert, failed fingerprinting, or being ignored by the GenoProfiler software. Clones containing four or fewer fragments in the range of 50 to 500 bp provided insufficient information to be included in contig assembly. The clones from this library had an average of 92 fragments per clone in the sized range.

BAC clones were assembled into contigs using a compartmentalized approach (Bozdag et al. 2009). Each group of BACs identified by each probe pool was first assembled independently using Fingerprint Contigs (FPC) software v8.1 (Soderlund et al. 1997 and 2000). Contig merging and redundancy removal steps were then applied (Bozdag et al. 2009) to finalize the assembly. Key elements of this compartmentalized process can be found in Methods S1.

Construction of minimal tiling paths

Two sets of MTP clones were computed. The first one contained 2,638 BACs from data that were available relatively early in this work, including the majority of GB-BACs provided from prior work and GB-BACs containing abiotic-stress regulated genes and others targeted by a SNP genotyping assay referred to as POPA1 (Close et al. 2009). These BACs are referred to later as MTP subsets 1-3. Subsequently, after the full list of 83,831 GB-BACs had been compiled, the second set of MTP clones, 13,182 BACs, was developed. BACs from this second MTP set, which generally avoided duplication with the first set of MTP clones, are referred to later as MTP subsets 4-9.

MTP sequencing and assembly

MTP BACs were paired-end sequenced (2 x 100 bases) using Illumina HiSeq2000 (Illumina, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). Sequencing was done in eight sets of BAC clones (HV3-10) applying a combinatorial pooling design (Lonardi et al. 2013). In brief, this approach takes advantage of the current high-throughput sequencing instruments to *de novo* sequence thousands of BAC clones in pools that are designed to identify each BAC within the pooling pattern, hence avoiding exhaustive DNA barcoding. Reads in each pool (after quality trimming) were ‘sliced’

into smaller samples of optimal size as explained in detail by Lonardi et al. (2015), deconvoluted, and then assembled BAC-by-BAC using Velvet v.1.2.09 (Zerbino et al. 2008). Assembly of the BACs with SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012) or IDBA (Peng et al. 2012) did not provide a clear objective improvement in assembly quality. Slicing the data into subsets was a key step to improving the quality of the assemblies. A threshold cutoff of 35,000 high-quality reads was applied to consider a BAC as ‘sequenced’. Statistics for assembly were collected using the Assemblathon script (K. Bradnam, Genome Center, UC Davis).

High-confidence (HC) and low-confidence (LC) gene models predicted by IBSC (2012) were used to annotate the BAC assemblies, using a minimum sequence length of 200 bp and an e-value of $1e^{-20}$ as the cutoffs for the BLAST alignments. We ignored any gene model hitting at least 10 BACs for most analyses.

Chromosome-arm assignment of BACs

We used CLARK, a supervised classification method, to assign BACs to chromosome arms (Ounit et al. 2015). Briefly, CLARK can accurately classify ‘objects’ (e.g., BACs) to ‘targets’ (e.g., chromosome arms) by reducing the problem to a k -mer comparison of the corresponding sequences. CLARK differs from other k -mer based methods because it considers only k -mers that are specific (or discriminative) to each target. It does so in the preprocessing phase by discarding any k -mer that appears in two or more targets, except in the case of k -mers shared by only both arms of the same chromosome, which are used to define ‘centromeric’ regions of overlap. Additionally, CLARK disregards very rare k -mers, which tend to be spurious from sequencing errors. Using $k=19$ and by discarding 19-mers that appeared only once (Ounit et al.

2015), we have accepted only assignments with confidence scores > 0.75 (high-confidence assignments). ‘Targets’ were reads generated by Illumina whole-genome shotgun sequencing of barley flow-sorted chromosome 1H and arms of chromosomes 2H-7H that were assembled using SOAPdenovo (Luo et al. 2012). The chromosomes were purified by flow cytometric sorting as described by Suchánková et al. (2006) and their DNA amplified following the procedure of Šimková et al. (2008).

Validation of the sequence assembly

A total of 1,037 gene-bearing BACs from the Yu et al. library (2000) were previously sequenced (454 Life Sciences technology) and assembled by other institutions, and included in the barley genome sequence resource (IBSC 2012). Our sequence assemblies for 1,037 BACs of the same address BACs were compared using the sequence alignment tool QUASt (Gurevich et al. 2013). We removed 40 BACs which had <33% alignment with each other, attributing this level of disagreement to rearray errors, extensive cross-contamination or extreme instability of a BAC in the *Escherichia coli* host. The remaining 997 BACs that were sequenced independently in the present work and previously were blasted against HC and LC gene models predicted by IBSC (2012), using a minimum sequence length of 200 bp and an e-value of $1e^{-20}$. Gene models found in our sequence assemblies and in the 454-based assemblies were compared, after excluding gene models hitting at least 10 BACs (Table 2). Fourteen of the 50 BACs that were fully sequenced by the Joint Genome Institute coauthors using the Sanger method were in common with the 15,622 BACs and were used as ground truth for additional validation of the sequence assemblies. QUASt (Gurevich et al. 2013) was used to determine the percentage coverage. Gene content was determined as described above.

Synteny analysis

For barley-rice synteny, each barley BAC DNA sequence was compared to rice translated gene models available at the Rice Genome Annotation Project database (<http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/>). All BLASTX hits with an e-value of $\leq 10^{-20}$ or better were tallied for each BAC. The rice chromosome with the plurality of matches was then taken as the correct rice chromosome. A mean value of chromosome coordinates was then calculated for the matched rice gene models on this chromosome to assign a rice chromosome position to each BAC. A rice genome position was then assigned to each entire BAC contig by a similar voting method, accepting the plurality of rice chromosomes for the contig and the mean value within the matching rice chromosome as the position of the BACs in this contig. These rice genome coordinates were then used to align the seven barley chromosomes with the twelve rice chromosomes (Figure 1 and Figure S2).

For barley-*Aegilops tauschii* synteny, each SNP design sequence for the *Ae. tauschii* iSelect genotyping assay (Luo et al. 2013), downloaded from <http://probes.pw.usda.gov/WheatDMarker/downloads/>, was matched by BLAST to the extended *Ae. tauschii* genome sequences that were available from the same website. The linkage group and cM coordinates for each SNP marker published in Luo et al. (2013) were then associated with each wheat D genome iSelect SNP assay design sequence. These wheat SNP design sequences were matched by BLAST to the sequences of barley BACs described in the present work, many of which contained sequences matching barley SNP assay design sequences (Close et al. 2009; Comadran et al. 2012). The arm assignment for each barley BAC was taken from Data S1, to limit the BACs to those where the barley and wheat SNPs mapped to orthologous

chromosomes. The barley-wheat D synteny viewer in HarvEST:Barley is based on these relationships.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the USDA Initiative for Future Agriculture and Food Systems 01-52100-11346, North American Barley Genome Project (USDA-CSREES 2001-34213-10511), USDA-CSREES National Research Initiative (NRI) 2002-35300-12548, NSF Plant Genome Research Program DBI-0321756, BarleyCAP (USDA-CSREES-NRI 2006-55606-16722 and USDA-AFRI-NIFA 2009-85606-05701), USDA-AFRI-NIFA 2009-65300-05645, TriticeaeCAP (USDA-NIFA 2010-15718-10), NSF-ABI DBI-1062301, and UC Riverside Agricultural Experiment Station Hatch Project CA-R-BPS-5306-H. The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute was supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. H.Š and J.D. have been supported by grant award LO1204 from the National Program of Sustainability I. The authors also thank the following individuals: for communications regarding gene-bearing BAC IDs (Nick Collins, Jorge Dubcovsky, Katherine Feuillet, Kulvinder Gill, Yong Gu, David Laurie, Saghai Maroof, Tim Sutton, Pingsha Hu); for BAC clone identification (Faith Lin, Ginger Mok, Hung Le); for provision of fee-for-service Morex barley research materials (Michael Atkins, Clemson University Genomics Institute), for provision of fee-for-service DNA sequencing (John Weger, UC Riverside Genomics Core Facility), and for other technical assistance (Gianfranco Ciardo, Raymond Fenton, Hung Le, Harkamal Walia).

Supporting information

Figure S1. Scatter plot of number of gene-bearing sequenced BACs against molecular sizes for barley chromosome arms.

Figure S2. BAC distribution along barley chromosomes 1H, 3H, 4H, 6H and 7H.

Figure S3. Synteny between barley and *Ae. tauschii* linkage groups.

Figure S4. Estimate of the total number of gene-bearing BACs.

Table S1. Statistics of BAC sequence assembly for different minimum node sizes.

Table S2. High-confidence (HC) and low-confidence (LC) gene models predicted by IBSC (2012) that hit ≥ 10 BACs.

Table S3. BAC clones assigned to 4HC.

Table S4. HC gene models located in gene-dense and low-recombination regions of 2H and 5H.

Data S1. List of gene-bearing BACs identified from the Yu et al. (2000) library.

Data S2. Recombination frequency and gene density data corresponding to Figure 2.

Data S3. List of BAC clones from the Yu et al. (2000) library sequenced by institutions other than UCR.

Methods S1. Supplementary methods and full legends for supporting information.

References

- Ariyadasa, R., Mascher, M., Nussbaumer, T., Schulte, D., Frenkel, Z., Poursarebani, N., et al. (2014) A sequence-ready physical map of barley anchored genetically by two million single-nucleotide polymorphisms. *Plant Physiol.* **164**, 412-423.
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., et al. (2012) SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing.

J. Comp. Biol. **19**, 455-477.

Barakat, A., Carels, N., and Bernardi, G. (1997) The distribution of genes in the genomes of Gramineae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 6857-6861.

Bartoš, J., Paux, E., Kofler, R., Havránková, M., Kopecký, D., Suchánková, P., et al. (2008) A first survey of the rye (*Secale cereale*) genome composition through BAC end sequencing of the short arm of chromosome 1R. *BMC Plant Biol.* **8**, 95.

Bozdag, S., Close, T., and Lonardi, S. (2009) A compartmentalized approach to the assembly of physical maps. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 217.

Bozdag, S., Close, T., and Lonardi, S. (2013) A graph-theoretical approach to the selection of the minimum tiling path from a physical map. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform.* **10**, 352-360.

Breiteneder, H., Pettenburger, K., Bito, A., Valenta, R., Kraft, D., Rumpold, H., et al. (1989) The gene coding for the major birch pollen allergen Betv1, is highly homologous to a pea disease resistance response gene. *EMBO J.* **8**, 1935-1938.

Choulet, F., Alberti, A., Theil, S., Glover, N., Barbe, V., Daron, J., et al. (2014) Structural and functional partitioning of bread wheat chromosome 3B. *Science* **345**, 1249721.

Choulet, F., Wicker, T., Rustenholz, C., Paux, E., Salse, J., Leroy, P., et al. (2010) Megabase level sequencing reveals contrasted organization and evolution patterns of the wheat gene and transposable element spaces. *Plant Cell* **22**, 1686-1701.

Close, T.J., Bhat, P.R., Lonardi, S., Wu, Y., Rostoks, N., Ramsay, L., et al. (2009) Development and implementation of high-throughput SNP genotyping in barley. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 582.

Comadran, J., Kilian, B., Russell, J., Ramsay, L., Stein, N., Ganal, M., et al. (2012) Natural

variation in a homolog of *Antirrhinum CENTRORADIALIS* contributed to spring growth habit and environmental adaptation in cultivated barley. *Nature Genetics* **44**, 1388-1392.

Doležel, J., Vrána, J., Safář, J., Bartoš, J., Kubaláková, M., and Simková, H. (2012) Chromosomes in the flow to simplify genome analysis. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* **12**, 397-416.

Du, Z., Zhou, X., Ling, Y., Zhang, Z., and Su, Z. (2010) AgriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **38**, W64-W70.

Dubcovsky, J., Ramakrishna, W., SanMiguel, P.J., Busso, C.S., Yan, L., Shiloff, B.A., et al. (2001) Comparative sequence analysis of colinear barley and rice bacterial artificial chromosomes. *Plant Physiol.* **125**, 1342-1353.

Dvořák, J. (2009) Triticeae genome structure and evolution. In *Genetics and genomics of the Triticeae* (Muehlbauer, G.J., Feuillet, C., eds.). Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 685-711.

Feuillet, C., and Keller, B. (1999) High gene density is conserved at syntenic loci of small and large grass genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 8265-8270.

Gottlieb, A., Müller, H.G., Massa, A.N., Wanjugi, H., Deal, K.R., You, F.M., et al. (2013) Insular organization of gene space in grass genomes. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e54101.

Griffiths, S., Dunford, R.P., Coupland, G., and Laurie, D.A. (2003) The evolution of *CONSTANS*-like gene families in barley, rice, and Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **131**, 1855-1867.

Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., and Tesler, G. (2013) QUASt: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1072-1075.

Hudakova, S., Michalek, W., Presting, G.G., ten Hoopen, R., dos Santos, K., Jasencakova, Z., et al. (2001) Sequence organization of barley centromeres. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**,

5029-5050.

International Rice Genome Sequencing Project (2005) The map-based sequence of the rice genome. *Nature* **436**, 793-800.

Komatsuda, T., Pourkheirandish, M., He, C., Azhaguvel, P., Kanamori, H., Perovic, D., et al. (2007) Six-rowed barley originated from a mutation in a homeodomain-leucine zipper I-class homeobox gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 1424-1429.

Langdon, T., Seago, C., Mende, M., Leggett, M., Thomas, H., Forster, J.W., et al. (2000) Retrotransposon evolution in diverse plant genomes. *Genetics* **156**, 313-325.

Lermontova, I., Kuhlmann, M., Friedel, S., Rutten, T., Heckmann, S., Sandmann, M., et al. (2013) Arabidopsis kinetochore null2 is an upstream component for centromeric histone H3 variant cenH3 deposition at centromeres. *Plant Cell* **25**, 3389-3404.

Lonardi, S., Duma, D., Alpert, M., Cordero, F., Beccuti, M., Bhat, P.R., et al. (2013) Combinatorial pooling enables selective sequencing of the barley gene space. *PLoS Comput Biol.* **9**, e1003010.

Lonardi, S., Mirebrahim, H., Wanamaker, S., Alpert, M., Ciardo, G., Duma, D., et al. (2015) When less is more: “slicing” sequencing data improves read decoding accuracy and *De Novo* assembly quality. *Bioinformatics*, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv311.

Luo, M.C., Gu, Y.Q., You, F.M., Deal, K.R., Ma, Y., Hu, Y., et al. (2013) A 4-gigabase physical map unlocks the structure and evolution of the complex genome of *Aegilops tauschii*, the wheat D-genome progenitor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 7940-7945.

Luo, M.C., Thomas, C., You, F.M., Hsiao, J., Ouyang, S., Buell, C.R., et al. (2003) High-throughput fingerprinting of bacterial artificial chromosomes using the snapshot labeling kit and sizing of restriction fragments by capillary electrophoresis. *Genomics* **82**, 378-

- Luo, R., Liu, B., Xie, Y., Li, Z., Huang, W., Yuan, J., et al. (2012) SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. *GigaScience* **1**, 18.
- Mascher, M., Muehlbauer, G.J., Rokhsar, D.S., Chapman, J., Schmutz, J., Barry, K., et al. (2013) Anchoring and ordering NGS contig assemblies by population sequencing (POPSEQ). *Plant J.* **76**, 718-727.
- Mayer, K.F.X., Martis, M., Hedley, P.E., Simková, H., Liu, H., Morris, J.A., et al. (2011) Unlocking the barley genome by chromosomal and comparative genomics. *Plant Cell* **23**, 1249-1263.
- Morrell, P.L., Buckler, E.S., and Ross-Ibarra, J. (2012) Crop genomics: advances and applications. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **13**, 85-96.
- Muñoz-Amatriaín, M., Cuesta-Marcos, A., Endelman, J.B., Comadran, J., Bonman, J.M., Bockelman, H.E., et al. (2014) The USDA barley core collection: genetic diversity, population structure, and potential for genome-wide association studies. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e94688.
- Muñoz-Amatriaín, M., Eichten, S.R., Wicker, T., Richmond, T.A., Mascher, M., Steuernagel, B., et al. (2013) Distribution, functional impact, and origin mechanisms of copy number variation in the barley genome. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R58.
- Muñoz-Amatriaín, M., Moscou M.J., Bhat P.R., Svensson, J.T., Bartoš, J., Suchánková, P., et al. (2011) An improved consensus linkage map of barley based on flow-sorted chromosomes and single nucleotide polymorphism markers. *Plant Genome* **4**, 238-249.
- Nussbaumer, T., Martis M.M., Roessner, S.K., Pfeifer, M., Bader, K.C., Sharma, S., et al. (2013) MIPS PlantsDB: a database framework for comparative plant genome research. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. 41, D1144-1151.

Ounit, R., Wanamaker, S., Close, T.J., and Lonardi, S. (2015) CLARK: fast and accurate classification of metagenomic and genomic sequences using discriminative *k*-mers. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 236.

Peng, Y., Leung, H.C., Yiu, S.M., and Chin, F.Y. (2012) IDBA-UD: a *de novo* assembler for single-cell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1420-1428.

Raats, D., Frenkel, Z., Krugman, T., Dodek, I., Sela, H., Simková, H., et al. (2013) The physical map of wheat chromosome 1BS provides insights into its gene space organization and evolution. *Genome Biology* **14**, R138.

Sandhu, D., and Gill, K.S. (2002) Gene-containing regions of wheat and the other grass genomes. *Plant Physiol.* **128**, 803-811.

Schulte, D., Close, T.J., Graner, A., Langridge, P., Matsumoto, T., Muehlbauer, G., et al. (2009) The International Barley Sequencing Consortium—at the threshold of efficient access to the barley genome. *Plant Physiol.* **149**, 142-147.

Šimková, H., Svensson, J.T., Condamine, P., Hribová, E., Suchánková, P., Bhat, P.R., et al. (2008) Coupling amplified DNA from flow-sorted chromosomes to high-density SNP mapping in barley. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 294.

Soderlund, C., Humphrey, S., Dunhum, A., and French, L. (2000) Contigs built with fingerprints, markers and FPC V4.7. *Genome Res.* **10**, 1772-1787.

Soderlund, C., Longden, I., and Mott, R. (1997) FPC: a system for building contigs from restriction fingerprinted clones. *CABIOS* **13**, 523-535.

Stein, N., Prasad, M., Scholz, U., Thiel, T., Zhang, H., Wolf, M., et al. (2007) A 1,000-loci

transcript map of the barley genome: new anchoring points for integrative grass genomics. *Theor Appl Genet.* **114**, 823-839.

Stein, N., and Steuernagel, B. (2014) Advances in sequencing the barley genome. In *Genomics of plant genetic resources* (Tuberosa, R., Graner, A., and Frison, E., eds.). Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 391-403.

Suchánková, P., Kubaláková, M., Kovárová, P., Bartos, J., Cíhalíková, J., Molnár-Láng, M., et al. (2006) Dissection of the nuclear genome of barley by chromosome flow sorting. *Theor Appl Genet.* **113**, 651-659.

The International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium (2012) A physical, genetic and functional sequence assembly of the barley genome. *Nature* **491**, 711-716.

Ullrich, S.E. (2010) Significance, adaptation, production, and trade of barley. In *Barley: production, improvement, and uses* (Ullrich, S.E., ed.). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 3-13.

Varshney, R.K., Grosse, I., Hähnel, U., Siefken, R., Prasad, M., Stein, N., et al. (2006) Genetic mapping and BAC assignment of EST-derived SSR markers shows non-uniform distribution of genes in the barley genome. *Theor Appl Genet* **113**, 239-250.

Wei, F., Gobelman-Werner, K., Morroll, S.M., Kurth, J., Mao, L., Wing, R., Leister, D., et al. (1999) The *Mla* (powdery mildew) resistance cluster is associated with three *NBS-LRR* gene families and suppressed recombination within a 240-kb DNA interval on chromosome 5S (1HS) of barley. *Genetics* **153**, 1929-1948.

Wei, F., Wing, R., and Wise, R.P. (2002) Genome dynamics and evolution of the *Mla* (powdery mildew) resistance locus in barley. *Plant Cell* **14**, 1903-1917.

Wicker, T., Taudien, S., Houben, A., Keller, B., Graner, A., Platzer, M., et al. (2009) A whole-

genome snapshot of 454 sequences exposes the composition of the barley genome and provides evidence for parallel evolution of genome size in wheat and barley. *Plant J.* **59**, 712-722.

Yan, H., Ito, H., Nobuta, K., Ouyang, S., Jin, W., Tian, S., et al. (2006) Genomic and genetic characterization of rice *Cen3* reveals extensive transcription and evolutionary implications of a complex centromere. *Plant Cell* **18**, 2123-2133.

Yan, L., Fu, D., Li, C., Blechl, A., Tranquilli, G., Bonafede, M., et al. (2006) Genome dynamics and evolution of the *Mla* (powdery mildew) resistance locus in barley. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 19581-19586.

You, F.M., Luo, M.C., Gu, Y.Q., Lazo, G.R., Deal, K., Dvorak, J., et al. (2007) GenoProfiler: batch processing of high-throughput capillary fingerprinting data. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 240-242.

Yu, Y., Tomkins, J.P., Waugh, R., Frisch, D.A., Kudrna, D., Kleinhofs, A., et al. (2000) A bacterial artificial chromosome library for barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) and the identification of clones containing putative resistance genes. *Theor Appl Genet* **101**, 1093-1099.

Zeng, X., Long, H., Wang, Z., Zhao, S., Tang, Y., Huang, Z., et al. (2015) The draft genome of Tibetan hulless barley reveals adaptive patterns to the high stressful Tibetan Plateau. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 1095-1100.

Zerbino, D.R., and Birney, E. (2008) Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.* **18**, 821-819.

Zhong, C.X., Marshall, J.B., Topp, C., Mroczek, R., Kato, A., Nagaki, K., et al. (2002) Centromeric retroelements and satellites interact with maize kinetochore protein CENH3.

Tables

Table 1. Statistics of the gene-bearing BAC sequence assembly for nodes ≥ 200 bp in size.

Chr. arm	# BACs	Avg. # Nodes per BAC	Length of assembled reads (bp)	Avg. BAC length (bp)	Avg. N50	Avg. L50	# Unique HC gene models *	# Unique LC gene models *
1H	1,959	19.5	209,800,854	107,096	23,697	2.8	2,866	3,502
2HS	1,048	18.5	111,465,824	106,361	24,703	2.7	1,486	1,935
2HL	1,391	19.1	149,179,441	107,246	22,978	2.7	2,241	2,529
3HS	862	18.2	92,368,717	107,156	26,301	2.6	1,242	1,704
3HL	1,389	18.8	148,465,120	106,886	24,223	2.7	2,132	2,576
4HS	862	17.9	94,522,065	109,654	26,577	2.6	1,048	1,442
4HC	60	15.8	5,866,127	97,769	28,999	2.2	20	40
4HL	1,100	18.7	120,519,518	109,563	25,756	2.7	1,536	1,740
5HS	640	19.1	69,074,495	107,929	24,696	2.8	812	1,207
5HL	1,623	20.1	173,282,123	106,767	22,285	2.9	2,777	3,288
6HS	823	19.7	87,025,477	105,742	22,248	2.8	1,070	1,624
6HL	1,113	19.3	120,662,552	108,412	23,922	2.8	1,610	1,942
7HS	1,196	19	129,484,046	108,264	24,580	2.7	1,770	2,496
7HL	1,150	20.1	122,082,348	106,159	23,221	2.8	1,734	2,182
NA	406	41.6	63,956,654	157,529	16,942	5.2	994	1,287
All	15,622	19.7	1,697,755,361	108,677	23,906	2.8	15,707	19,330

N50: length for which the collection of all nodes (contigs) of that length or longer contains at least half of the sum of the lengths of all nodes (contigs) in the BAC assembly.

L50: minimum number of nodes (contigs) accounting for more than 50% of the BAC assembly.

* Gene models hitting 10 or more BACs are not included in the count.

Table 2. Comparison between gene models found in two different sequence assemblies of 997 BACs. High-confidence (HC) and low-confidence (LC) gene models predicted by IBSC (2012) were considered. A minimum sequence length of 200 bp and an e-value of $1e^{-20}$ were the cutoffs used for the BLAST alignments. Numbers do not include gene models hitting ≥ 10 BACs.

Sequencing technology	Avg. HC gene models / BAC	Total unique HC gene models	Avg. LC gene models / BAC	Total unique LC gene models
454*	2.96	2,604	3.26	2,950
Illumina [†]	2.89	2,571	3.18	2,904
Both	2.83	2,489	3.08	2,785

* Sequencing institutions for 454 sequencing included IPK Gatersleben, Fritz-Lipman Institute in Jena and Eurofins Scientific, and were published in IBSC (2012).

[†] Sequencing institution for Illumina sequencing was UCR.

Figure legends

Figure 1. BAC distribution along barley chromosomes 2H and 5H and syntenic relationships with rice chromosomes. Grey bars represent the number of sequenced barley BACs and their units are shown on the left Y-axis. Colored lines represent the proportion of BACs containing only 1 HC gene model (blue), 3 or more HC genes (red) or 0 HC gene models (yellow), and the scale is shown on the right Y-axis. BAC densities are calculated for a sliding window of 40 Mb at 2.5 Mb intervals based on the physical coordinates (archived golden path) provided by IBSC (2012). Gray arrows indicate gene-dense regions different from distal ends. Barley-rice synteny is represented by lines connecting each mapped BAC to the position on the rice genome determined by BLASTX (see Experimental procedures). Densities of expressed rice genes across chromosomes are also displayed (adapted from Supplementary Figure 2 in IRGSP 2005), where blue bars indicate the frequency of gene models in 100 kb windows, red boxes indicate centromeres and white boxes represent physical gaps.

Figure 2. Relationship between recombination frequency (RF) and gene density (GD) along the seven barley chromosomes. Recombination rates are calculated from the cM/Mb ratios in sliding windows of 40 Mb with 2.5 Mb increments, and are represented by a color gradient from green (RF=0) to red (RF=1.14). Gene densities are estimated based on the total number of unique HC genes per window with respect to the total number of sequenced BACs assigned to that window, and are represented by the same color gradient from green (GD=0.67) to red (GD=3.16). Grey arrows indicate most evident genomic regions of relatively high gene density and very low recombination.



